James Hardie Industries N.V. and Subsidiaries

Consolidated Financial Statements For the Year Ended 31 March 2006

Page

Item 1. Financial Statements

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	F-2
Consolidated Balance Sheets as of 31 March 2006 and 2005	F-3
Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Years ended 31 March 2006,	
2005 and 2004	F-4
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Years ended 31 March	
2006, 2005 and 2004	F-6
Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity for the Years	
Ended 31 March 2006, 2005 and 2004	F-8
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	F-10
Remuneration Disclosures (Unaudited)	F-59
Selected Quarterly Financial Data (Unaudited)	F-61
Group Statistics (Unaudited)	F-62

Item 2. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market RiskF-64

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders of James Hardie Industries N.V. and Subsidiaries

In our opinion, the accompanying consolidated balance sheets and the related consolidated statements of income, cash flows and changes in shareholders' equity present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of James Hardie Industries N.V. and Subsidiaries at 31 March 2006 and 2005, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended 31 March 2006 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits. We conducted our audits of these statements in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

As discussed in Notes 12 and 13 to the consolidated financial statements, the Company is subject to certain significant contingencies, including asbestos-related claims against the former subsidiaries for which a provision in an amount representing the Company's best estimate of the probable outcome has been established; a Special Commission of Inquiry established by the government of New South Wales, Australia; a Final Funding Agreement; an investigation by the Australian Securities and Investments Commission; an offer of an indemnity to ABN 60 together with a related commitment to provide funding to the Medical Research and Compensation Foundation; and a significant amended tax assessment from the Australian Tax Office.

Los Angeles, California 12 May 2006

James Hardie Industries N.V. and Subsidiaries Consolidated Balance Sheets

			•	(Millions of US Dollars)				ions of an Dollars)		
	Notes	3	1 March 2006	3	1 March 2005	-	March 1905	31	March 2005	
							audited)		audited)	
Assets										
Current assets:										
Cash and cash equivalents	3	\$	315.1	\$	113.5	A\$	440.4	A\$	146.9	
Accounts and notes receivable, net of allowance for										
doubtful accounts of \$1.3 million (A\$1.8 million) and	d									
\$1.5 million (A\$1.9 million) as of 31 March 2006										
and 31 March 2005, respectively	4		153.2		127.2		214.1		164.7	
Inventories	5		124.0		99.9		173.3		129.3	
Prepaid expenses and other current assets			33.8		12.0		47.2		15.5	
Deferred income taxes	13		30.7		26.0		42.9		33.7	
Total current assets			656.8		378.6		917.9		490.1	
Property, plant and equipment, net	6		775.6		685.7		1,083.9		887.7	
Deferred income taxes	13		4.8		12.3		6.7		15.9	
Other assets			8.2		12.3		11.5		15.9	
Total assets		\$	1,445.4	\$	1,088.9	A\$	2,020.0	A\$	1,409.6	
Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity Current liabilities:										
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	8	\$	117.8	\$	94.0	A\$	164.6	A\$	121.7	
Current portion of long-term debt	9		121.7		25.7		170.1		33.3	
Short-term debt	9		181.0		11.9		252.9		15.4	
Accrued payroll and employee benefits			46.3		35.7		64.7		46.2	
Accrued product warranties	11		11.4		8.0		15.9		10.4	
Income taxes payable	13		24.5		21.4		34.2		27.7	
Other liabilities			3.3		1.7		4.6		2.2	
Total current liabilities			506.0		198.4		707.0		256.9	
Long-term debt	9		-		121.7		-		157.6	
Deferred income taxes	13		79.8		77.5		111.5		100.3	
Accrued product warranties	11		4.1		4.9		5.7		6.3	
Asbestos provision	12		715.6		-		1,000.0		-	
Other liabilities	10		45.0		61.7		62.9		79.9	
Total liabilities			1,350.5		464.2	A\$	1,887.1	A\$	601.0	
Commitments and contingencies (Note 12)										
Shareholders' equity:										
Common stock, Euro 0.59 par value, 2.0 billion										
shares authorised; 463,306,511 shares issued										
and outstanding at 31 March 2006 and										
459,373,176 shares issued and outstanding										
at 31 March 2005	15		253.2		245.8					
Additional paid-in capital	15		158.8		139.4					
Retained (deficit) earnings			(288.3)		264.3					
Employee loans	15		(0.4)		(0.7)					
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	18		(28.4)		(24.1)					
Total shareholders' equity			94.9		624.7					
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity		\$	1,445.4	\$	1,088.9					

James Hardie Industries N.V. and Subsidiaries Consolidated Statements of Operations

(Millions of LIC dollars, events have dote) No	400		rs e	nded 31 Ma	2004
(Millions of US dollars, except per share data) No	tes	2006		2005	2004
Net sales Cost of goods sold	17	\$ 1,488.5	\$	1,210.4 (784.0)	\$ 981.9 (623.0)
C C		(937.7)			
Gross profit		550.8		426.4	358.9
Selling, general and administrative expenses		(209.8)		(174.5)	(162.0)
Research and development expenses		(28.7)		(21.6)	(22.6)
SCI and other related expenses	12	(17.4)		(28.1)	-
Impairment of roofing plant	6	(13.4)		-	-
Asbestos provision	12	(715.6)		-	-
Other operating expense		(0.8)		(6.0)	 (2.1)
Operating (loss) income		(434.9)		196.2	172.2
Interest expense		(7.2)		(7.3)	(11.2)
Interest income		7.0		2.2	1.2
Other (expense) income		-		(1.3)	3.5
(Loss) income from continuing operations					
before income taxes	17	(435.1)		189.8	165.7
Income tax expense	13	(71.6)		(61.9)	 (40.4)
(Loss) income from continuing operations		 (506.7)		127.9	 125.3
Discontinued operations: (Loss) income from discontinued operations, net of income tax benefit (expense) of nil, \$0.2 million and (\$0.1) million for 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively (Loss) gain on disposal of discontinued operations, net of income tax benefit of nil, nil and \$4.8		-		(0.3)	0.2
million for 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively	14	-		(0.7)	4.1
(Loss) income from discontinued operations		 -		(1.0)	 4.3
Net (loss) income		\$ (506.7)	\$	126.9	\$ 129.6
(Loss) income per share - basic: (Loss) income from continuing operations Income from discontinued operations		\$ (1.10)	\$	0.28	\$ 0.27 0.01
Net (loss) income per share - basic		\$ (1.10)	\$	0.28	\$ 0.28
(Loss) income per share - diluted: (Loss) income from continuing operations Income from discontinued operations		\$ (1.10)	\$	0.28	\$ 0.27 0.01
Net (loss) income per share - diluted		\$ (1.10)	\$	0.28	\$ 0.28
Weighted average common shares outstanding (Millions):					
Basic	2	461.7		458.9	458.1
Diluted	2	461.7		461.0	461.4

James Hardie Industries N.V. and Subsidiaries Consolidated Statements of Operations

(A\$ Unaudited)

(A\$ Onaudited)	Years ended 31 Marc						
(Millions of Australian dollars, except per share data)		2006		2005		2004	
Net sales Cost of goods sold		1,977.5 (1,245.7)		1,636.3 1,059.9)	A\$ 1	l,415.8 (898.3)	
Gross profit		731.8		576.4		517.5	
Selling, general and administrative expenses Research and development expenses SCI and other related expenses Impairment of roofing plant Asbestos provision Other operating expense Operating (loss) income		(278.7) (38.1) (23.1) (17.8) (1,000.0) (1.1) (627.0)		(235.9) (29.2) (38.0) - - (8.1) 265.2		(233.6) (32.6) - - (3.0) 248.3	
Interest expense		(9.6)		(9.9)		(16.1)	
Interest income Other (expense) income		9.3 -		3.0 (1.8)		1.7 5.0	
(Loss) income from continuing operations before income taxes		(627.3)		256.5		238.9	
Income tax expense		(95.1)	1	(83.7)		(58.3)	
(Loss) income from continuing operations		(722.4)		172.8		180.6	
Discontinued operations: (Loss) income from discontinued operations, net of income tax benefit (expense) of nil, A\$0.3 million and (A\$0.1) million for 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively (Loss) gain on disposal of discontinued operations, net of income tax benefit of nil, nil and A\$6.9		-		(0.4)		0.3	
million for 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively		-		(0.9)		5.9	
(Loss) income from discontinued operations		-		(1.3)		6.2	
Net (loss) income	A\$	(722.4)	A\$	171.5	A\$	186.8	
(Loss) income per share - basic: (Loss) income from continuing operations Income from discontinued operations	A\$	(1.56) -	A\$	0.38	A\$	0.39 0.01	
Net (loss) income per share - basic	A\$	(1.56)	A\$	0.38	A\$	0.40	
(Loss) income per share - diluted: (Loss) income from continuing operations Income from discontinued operations	A\$ 	(1.56) -	A\$	0.37	A\$	0.39 0.01	
Net (loss) income per share - diluted		(1.56)	A\$	0.37	A\$	0.40	
Weighted average common shares outstanding (Millions): Basic Diluted		461.7 461.7		458.9 461.0		458.1 461.4	

James Hardie Industries N.V. and Subsidiaries Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

		Yea	rs er	nded 31 N	/larch	1
(Millions of US dollars)		2006	5 01	2005		2004
Cash Flows From Operating Activities Net (loss) income	\$	(506.7)	\$	126.9	\$	129.6
Adjustments to reconcile net (loss) income to net cash	φ	(300.7)	φ	120.9	φ	129.0
provided by operating activities:						
Loss (gain) on sale of land and buildings		-		0.7		(4.2)
Loss (gain) on disposal of subsidiaries and businesses		-		2.1		(1.9)
Depreciation and amortisation		45.3		36.3		36.4
Deferred income taxes		4.3		11.1		14.6
Prepaid pension cost		2.9		7.6		1.8
Tax benefit from stock options exercised		2.2		0.4		0.4
Stock compensation		5.9		3.0		3.3
Asbestos provision		715.6		-		-
Impairment of roofing plant		13.4		-		-
Other		1.7		-		0.7
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:						
Accounts and notes receivable		(24.0)		(3.7)		(24.8)
Inventories		(26.6)		4.3		(24.9)
Prepaid expenses and other current assets		(24.8)		32.6		2.1
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities		24.4		15.0		1.3
Other accrued liabilities and other liabilities		7.0		(16.5)		28.2
Net cash provided by operating activities		240.6		219.8		162.6
Cash Flows From Investing Activities						
Purchases of property, plant and equipment		(162.0)		(153.2)		(74.8)
Proceeds from sale of property, plant and equipment		-		3.4		10.9
Proceeds from disposal of subsidiaries and businesses,						
net of cash divested		8.0		-		5.0
Net cash used in investing activities		(154.0)		(149.8)		(58.9)
Cash Flows From Financing Activities						
Net proceeds from line of credit		-		0.5		0.5
Proceeds from borrowings		181.0		-		-
Repayments of borrowings		(37.6)		(17.6)		-
Proceeds from issuance of shares		18.7		2.6		3.2
Repayments of capital		-		-		(68.7)
Dividends paid		(45.9)		(13.7)		(22.9)
Collections on loans receivable		0.3		0.6		0.9
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities		116.5		(27.6)		(87.0)
Effects of exchange rate changes on cash		(1.5)		(1.2)		0.5
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents		201.6		41.2		17.2
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period		113.5		72.3		55.1
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$	315.1	\$	113.5	\$	72.3
Components of Cook and Cook Equivalents						
Components of Cash and Cash Equivalents Cash at bank and on hand	\$	24.9	\$	28.6	\$	24.6
Short-term deposits	Ψ	290.2	Ψ	84.9	Ψ	47.7
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$	315.1	\$	113.5	\$	72.3
	Ψ	010.1	Ψ	110.0	Ψ	12.0
Supplemental Disclosure of Cash Flow Activities						
Cash paid during the period for interest, net of amounts	¢	0 E	ድ	10.7	¢	11 7
capitalised Cash paid (refunded) during the period for income taxes, net	\$ \$	3.5 93.4	\$ \$	10.7 15.7	\$ \$	11.7 (6.5)
cash palu (refunded) dufing the period for income taxes, fiel	Φ	93.4	φ	15.7	φ	(0.5)

James Hardie Industries N.V. and Subsidiaries Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

(A\$ Unaudited)

		Years	s ended 31 M	March				
(Millions of Australian dollars)		2006	2005		2004			
Cash Flows From Operating Activities								
Net (loss) income	A\$	(722.4)	A\$ 171.5		186.8			
Adjustments to reconcile net (loss) income to net cash	•	()						
provided by operating activities:								
Loss (gain) on sale of land and buildings		-	0.9		(6.1)			
Loss (gain) on disposal of subsidiaries and businesses		-	2.8		(2.7)			
Depreciation and amortisation		60.2	49.1		52.5			
Deferred income taxes		5.7	15.0		21.1			
Prepaid pension cost		3.9	10.3		2.6			
Tax benefit from stock options exercised		2.9	0.5		0.6			
Stock compensation		7.8	4.1		4.8			
Asbestos provision		1,000.0	-		-			
Impairment of roofing plant		17.8	-		-			
Other		2.3	-		1.0			
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:								
Accounts and notes receivable		(31.9)	(5.0)		(35.8)			
Inventories		(35.3)	5.8		(35.9)			
Prepaid expenses and other current assets		(32.9)	44.1		3.1			
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities		32.4	20.3		1.9			
Other accrued liabilities and other liabilities		9.3	(22.3)		40.7			
Net cash provided by operating activities		319.8	297.1		234.6			
Cash Flows From Investing Activities		010.0			20110			
Purchases of property, plant and equipment		(215.2)	(207.1)		(107.9)			
Proceeds from sale of property, plant and equipment		(213.2)	4.6		15.7			
Proceeds from disposal of subsidiaries and businesses,		-	4.0		10.7			
net of cash divested		10.6	_		7.2			
		(204.6)	(202 5)					
Net cash used in investing activities		(204.0)	(202.5)		(85.0)			
Cash Flows From Financing Activities Net proceeds from line of credit			0.7		0.7			
		- 240.5	0.7		0.7			
Proceeds from borrowings		240.5 (50.0)	(22.0)		-			
Repayments of borrowings Proceeds from issuance of shares		• •	(23.8)		-			
		24.8	3.5		4.6			
Repayments of capital		-	-		(99.1)			
Dividends paid		(61.0)	(18.5)		(33.0)			
Collections on loans receivable		0.3	(27.2)		1.3			
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities		154.6	(37.3)		(125.5)			
Effects of exchange rate changes on cash		23.7	(5.5)		(20.2)			
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents		293.5	51.8		3.9			
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period		146.9	95.1		91.2			
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	A\$	440.4	A\$ 146.9	A\$	95.1			
Components of Cash and Cash Equivalents								
Cash at bank and on hand	Α\$	34.8	A\$ 37.0	A\$	32.4			
Short-term deposits		405.6	111.7		62.7			
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	A\$	440.4	A\$ 146.9	A\$	95.1			
Supplemental Disclosure of Cash Flow Activities								
Cash paid during the period for interest, net of amounts								
capitalised	A\$	4.9	A\$ 14.5	A\$	16.9			
Cash paid (refunded) during the period for income taxes, net	A\$	130.5	A\$ 21.1	A\$	(9.4)			
			·• -···		(2)			

James Hardie Industries N.V. and Subsidiaries Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity

(Millions of US dollars)		Common Stock				aid-in	Retained Earnings (Accumulated Deficit)		Employee Loans		Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)			Total
Balances as of 31 March 2003	\$ 2	269.7	\$	171.3	\$	44.4	\$	(1.7)	\$	(49.0)	\$	434.7		
Comprehensive income:														
Net income		-		-		129.6		-		-		129.6		
Other comprehensive income (loss):														
Amortisation of unrealised transition loss on										4.4		4.4		
derivative instruments Foreign currency translation gain		-		-		-		-		1.1 16.0		1.1 16.0		
Unrealised loss on available-for-sale securities		-		-		-		_		(0.1)		(0.1)		
Additional minimum pension liability adjustment		-		-		-		-		7.7		7.7		
Other comprehensive income		_		-				-		24.7		24.7		
Total comprehensive income												154.3		
Dividends paid		_		_		(22.9)		_		_		(22.9)		
Conversion of common stock from Euro 0.64 par						(22.0)						(22.0)		
value to Euro 0.73 par value		48.4		(48.4)		-		-		-		-		
Conversion of common stock from Euro 0.73 par				```										
value to Euro 0.5995 par value and subsequent														
return of capital		(68.7)		-		-		-		-		(68.7)		
Conversion of common stock from Euro 0.5995														
par value to Euro 0.59 par value		(5.0)		5.0		-		-		-		-		
Stock compensation		-		3.3		-		-		-		3.3		
Tax benefit from stock options exercised Employee loans repaid		-		0.4		-		0.4		-		0.4 0.4		
Stock options exercised		0.8		2.4		-		0.4				0.4 3.2		
Balances as of 31 March 2004	\$	245.2	\$	134.0	\$	151.1	\$	(1.3)	\$	(24.3)	\$	504.7		
	Ψ 4	245.2	Ψ	134.0	Ψ	191.1	Ψ	(1.5)	Ψ	(24.3)	Ψ	504.7		
Comprehensive income:														
Net income		-		-		126.9		-		-		126.9		
Other comprehensive income (loss):														
Amortisation of unrealised transition loss on														
derivative instruments		-		-		-		-		1.1		1.1		
Foreign currency translation loss	-	-		-		-		-		(0.9)		(0.9)		
Other comprehensive income		-		-		-		-		0.2		0.2		
Total comprehensive income Dividends paid						(13.7)						(13.7)		
Stock compensation		-		3.0		(13.7)		-		-		(13.7)		
Tax benefit from stock options exercised		-		0.0		-		-		-		0.4		
Employee loans repaid		-		-		-		0.6		-		0.6		
Stock options exercised		0.6		2.0		-		-		-		2.6		
Balances as of 31 March 2005	\$ 2	245.8	\$	139.4	\$	264.3	\$	(0.7)	\$	(24.1)	\$	624.7		

James Hardie Industries N.V. and Subsidiaries Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity

(Millions of US dollars)	 om m on Stock	Additional Paid-in Capital		Paid-in		Paid-in		Paid-in		Retained Earnings (Accum ulated Deficit)		Earnings (Accum ulated		Em ployee Loans		• •		Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)		 Total
Balances as of 31 March 2005	\$ 245.8	\$	139.4	\$	264.3	\$	(0.7)	\$	(24.1)	\$ 624.7										
Comprehensive loss: Net loss Other comprehensive income (loss):	-		-		(506.7)		-		-	(506.7)										
Amortisation of unrealised transition loss on																				
derivative instruments	-		-		-		-		0.5	0.5										
Foreign currency translation loss	 -		-		-		-		(4.8)	 (4.8)										
Other comprehensive loss	 -		-		-		-		(4.3)	 (4.3)										
Total comprehensive loss										 (511.0)										
Dividends paid	-		-		(45.9)		-		-	(45.9)										
Stock compensation	-		5.9		-		-		-	5.9										
Tax benefit from stock options exercised	-		2.2		-		-		-	2.2										
Employee loans repaid	-		-		-		0.3		-	0.3										
Stock options exercised	7.4		11.3		-		-		-	18.7										
Balances as of 31 March 2006	\$ 253.2	\$	158.8	\$	(288.3)		(0.4)	\$	(28.4)	\$ 94.9										

1. Background and Basis of Presentation

Nature of Operations

The Company manufactures and sells fibre cement building products for interior and exterior building construction applications primarily in the United States, Australia, New Zealand, Philippines and Europe.

Background

On 2 July 1998, ABN 60 000 009 263 Pty Ltd, formerly James Hardie Industries Limited ("JHIL"), then a public company organised under the laws of Australia and listed on the Australian Stock Exchange, announced a plan of reorganisation and capital restructuring (the "1998 Reorganisation"). James Hardie N.V. ("JHNV") was incorporated in August 1998, as an intermediary holding company, with all of its common stock owned by indirect subsidiaries of JHIL. On 16 October 1998, JHIL's shareholders approved the 1998 Reorganisation. Effective as of 1 November 1998, JHIL contributed its fibre cement businesses, its US gypsum wallboard business, its Australian and New Zealand building systems businesses and its Australian windows business (collectively, the "Transferred Businesses") to JHNV and its subsidiaries. In connection with the 1998 Reorganisation, JHIL and its non-transferring subsidiaries retained certain unrelated assets and liabilities.

On 24 July 2001, JHIL announced a further plan of reorganisation and capital restructuring (the "2001 Reorganisation"). Completion of the 2001 Reorganisation occurred on 19 October 2001. In connection with the 2001 Reorganisation, James Hardie Industries N.V. ("JHI NV"), formerly RCI Netherlands Holdings B.V., issued common shares represented by CHESS Units of Foreign Securities ("CUFS") on a one for one basis to existing JHIL shareholders in exchange for their shares in JHIL such that JHI NV became the new ultimate holding company for JHIL and JHNV.

Following the 2001 Reorganisation, JHI NV controls the same assets and liabilities as JHIL controlled immediately prior to the 2001 Reorganisation.

Basis of Presentation

The consolidated financial statements represent the financial position, results of operations and cash flows of JHI NV and its current wholly owned subsidiaries, collectively referred to as either the "Company" or "James Hardie" and JHI NV together with its subsidiaries as of the time relevant to the applicable reference, the "James Hardie Group," unless the context indicates otherwise.

The assets, liabilities, income statement and cash flows of the Company have been presented with accompanying Australian dollar (A\$) convenience translations as the majority of the Company's shareholder base is Australian. These A\$ convenience translations are not prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The exchange rates used to calculate the convenience translations are as follows:

	31 March						
(US\$1 = A\$)	2006	2005	2004				
Assets and liabilities	1.3975	1.2946	1.3156				
Income statement	1.3285	1.3519	1.4419				
Cash flows - beginning cash	1.2946	1.3156	1.6559				
Cash flows - ending cash	1.3975	1.2946	1.3156				
Cash flows - current period movements	1.3285	1.3519	1.4419				

The Asbestos provision on the A\$ unaudited consolidated statements of operations and A\$ unaudited consolidated statements of cash flows is translated using the assets and liabilities rate at 31 March 2006.

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Accounting Principles

The consolidated financial statements are prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("US GAAP"). The US dollar is used as the reporting currency. All subsidiaries are consolidated and all significant intercompany transactions and balances are eliminated.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with US GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions. These estimates and assumptions affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements, and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from these estimates.

Reclassifications

Certain prior year balances have been reclassified to conform with the current year presentation.

Foreign Currency Translation

All assets and liabilities are translated into US dollars at current exchange rates while revenues and expenses are translated at average exchange rates in effect for the period. The effects of foreign currency translation adjustments are included directly in other comprehensive income in shareholders' equity. Gains and losses arising from foreign currency transactions are recognised in income currently.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

Cash and cash equivalents include amounts on deposit in banks and cash invested temporarily in various highly liquid financial instruments with original maturities of three months or less when acquired.

Inventories

Inventories are valued at the lower of cost or market. Cost is generally determined under the first-in, first-out method, except that the cost of raw materials and supplies is determined using actual or average costs. Cost includes the costs of materials, labour and applied factory overhead.

Property, Plant and Equipment

Property, plant and equipment are stated at cost. Property, plant and equipment of businesses acquired are recorded at their estimated cost based on fair value at the date of acquisition. Depreciation of property, plant and equipment is computed using the straight-line method over the following estimated useful lives:

	Years
Buildings	40
Building improvements	5 to 10
Manufacturing machinery	20
General equipment	5 to 10
Computer equipment	3 to 4
Office furniture and equipment	3 to 10

The costs of additions and improvements are capitalised, while maintenance and repair costs are expensed as incurred. Interest is capitalised in connection with the construction of major facilities. Capitalised interest is recorded as part of the asset to which it relates and is amortised over the asset's estimated useful life. Retirements, sales and disposals of assets are recorded by removing

the cost and accumulated depreciation amounts with any resulting gain or loss reflected in the consolidated statements of income.

Impairment of Long-Lived Assets

In accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting standards ("SFAS") No. 144, "Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets," long-lived assets, such as property, plant and equipment, and purchased intangibles subject to amortization, are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. Recoverability of assets to be held and used is measured by a comparison of the carrying amount of an asset to estimated undiscounted future cash flows expected to be generated by the asset. If the carrying amount of the asset exceeds its estimated future cash flows, an impairment charge is recognised by the amount by which the carrying amount of the asset exceeds the fair value of the assets.

Environmental

Environmental remediation expenditures that relate to current operations are expensed or capitalised as appropriate. Expenditures that relate to an existing condition caused by past operations, and which do not contribute to current or future revenue generation, are expensed. Liabilities are recorded when environmental assessments and/or remedial efforts are probable and the costs can be reasonably estimated. Estimated liabilities are not discounted to present value. Generally, the timing of these accruals coincides with completion of a feasibility study or the Company's commitment to a formal plan of action.

Mineral Acquisition Costs

The Company records acquired proven and probable silica mineral ore reserves at their fair value at the date of acquisition. Depletion expense is recorded based on the estimated rate per ton multiplied by the number of tons extracted during the period. The rate per ton may be periodically revised by management based on changes in the estimated tons available to be extracted which, in turn, is based on third party studies of proven and probable reserves.

SFAS No. 143, "Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations," requires the recording of a liability for an asset retirement obligation in the period in which the liability is incurred. The initial measurement is based upon the present value of estimated third party costs and a related long-lived asset retirement cost capitalised as part of the asset's carrying value and allocated to expense over the asset's useful life. Accordingly, the Company accrues for reclamation costs associated with mining activities, which are accrued during production and are included in determining the cost of production.

Revenue Recognition

The Company recognises revenue when the risks and obligations of ownership have been transferred to the customer, which generally occurs at the time of delivery to the customer. The Company records estimated reductions to sales for customer rebates and discounts including volume, promotional, cash and other discounts. Rebates and discounts are recorded based on management's best estimate when products are sold. The estimates are based on historical experience for similar programs and products. Management reviews these rebates and discounts on an ongoing basis and the related accruals are adjusted, if necessary, as additional information becomes available.

Cost of Goods Sold

Cost of goods sold is primarily comprised of cost of materials, labour and manufacturing. Cost of goods sold also includes the cost of inbound freight charges, purchasing and receiving costs, inspection costs, warehousing costs, internal transfer costs and shipping and handling costs.

Shipping and Handling

Shipping and handling costs are charged to cost of goods sold as incurred. Recovery of these costs is incorporated in the Company's sales price per unit and is therefore classified as part of net sales.

Selling, General and Administrative

Selling, general and administrative expenses primarily include costs related to advertising, marketing, selling, information technology and other general corporate functions. Selling, general and administrative expenses also include certain transportation and logistics expenses associated with the Company's distribution network. Transportation and logistic costs were US\$2.5 million, US\$1.2 million and US\$1.3 million for the years ended 31 March 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

Advertising

The Company expenses the production costs of advertising the first time the advertising takes place. Advertising expense was US\$19.1 million, US\$15.7 million and US\$15.2 million during the years ended 31 March 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

Accrued Product Warranties

An accrual for estimated future warranty costs is recorded based on an analysis by the Company, including the historical relationship of warranty costs to sales.

Income Taxes

The Company accounts for income taxes under, the asset and liability method. Under this method, deferred income taxes are recognised by applying enacted statutory rates applicable to future years to differences between the tax bases and financial reporting amounts of existing assets and liabilities. The effect on deferred taxes of a change in tax rates is recognised in income in the period that includes the enactment date. A valuation allowance is provided when it is more likely than not that all or some portion of deferred tax assets will not be realised.

Financial Instruments

To meet the reporting requirements of SFAS No. 107, "Disclosures About Fair Value of Financial Instruments," the Company calculates the fair value of financial instruments and includes this additional information in the notes to the consolidated financial statements when the fair value is different than the carrying value of those financial instruments. When the fair value reasonably approximates the carrying value, no additional disclosure is made. The estimated fair value amounts have been determined by the Company using available market information and appropriate valuation methodologies. However, considerable judgment is required in interpreting market data to develop the estimates of fair value. Accordingly, the estimates presented herein are not necessarily indicative of the amounts that the Company could realise in a current market exchange. The use of different market assumptions and/or estimation methodologies may have a material effect on the estimated fair value amounts.

Periodically, interest rate swaps, commodity swaps and forward exchange contracts are used to manage market risks and reduce exposure resulting from fluctuations in interest rates, commodity prices and foreign currency exchange rates. Where such contracts are designated as, and are effective as, a hedge, gains and losses arising on such contracts are accounted for in accordance with SFAS No. 133, "Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities," as amended. Specifically, changes in the fair value of derivative instruments designated as cash flow hedges are deferred and recorded in other comprehensive income. These deferred gains or losses are recognised in income when the transactions being hedged are completed. The ineffective portion of these hedges is recognised in income currently. Changes in the fair value of derivative instruments that are not designated as hedges for accounting purposes are recognised in income. The Company does not use derivatives for trading purposes.

Stock-Based Compensation

The Company reflects stock-based compensation expense under a fair value based accounting method for all options granted, modified or settled according with SFAS No. 123, "Accounting for Stock based Compensation" and SFAS No. 148, "Accounting for Stock based Compensation–Transition and Disclosure".

Employee Benefit Plans

The Company sponsors both defined benefit and defined contribution retirement plans for its employees. Employer contributions to the defined contribution plans are recognised as periodic pension expense in the period that the employees' salaries or wages are earned. The defined benefit plan covers all eligible employees and takes into consideration the following components to calculate net periodic pension expense: (a) service cost; (b) interest cost; (c) expected return on plan assets; (d) amortisation of unrecognised prior service cost; (e) recognition of net actuarial gains or losses; and (f) amortisation of any unrecognised net transition asset. If the amount of the Company's total contribution to its pension plan for the period is not equal to the amount of net periodic pension cost, the Company recognises the difference either as a prepaid or accrued pension cost.

Dividends

Dividends are recorded as a liability on the date that the Board of Directors formally declares the dividend.

Earnings Per Share

The Company is required to disclose basic and diluted earnings per share ("EPS"). Basic EPS is calculated using income divided by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted EPS is similar to basic EPS except that the weighted average number of common shares outstanding is increased to include the number of additional common shares calculated using the treasury method that would have been outstanding if the dilutive potential common shares, such as options, had been issued. Accordingly, basic and dilutive common shares outstanding used in determining net income per share are as follows:

	Years Ended 31 March							
(Millions of shares)	2006	2005	2004					
Basic common shares outstanding	461.7	458.9	458.1					
Dilutive effect of stock options	-	2.1	3.3					
Diluted common shares outstanding	461.7	461.0	461.4					
(Continuing operations - US dollar)	2006	2005	2004					
Net (loss) income per share - basic Net (loss) income per share - diluted	(\$1.10) (\$1.10)	\$0.28 \$0.28	\$0.28 \$0.28					

Potential common shares of 6.6 million, 8.2 million and 2.0 million for the years ended 31 March 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively, have been excluded from the calculation of diluted common shares outstanding because the effect of their inclusion would be anti-dilutive. Due to the net loss for the year ended 31 March 2006, the assumed net exercise of stock options was excluded, as the effect would have been anti-dilutive.

Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)

Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) includes foreign currency translation and derivative instruments and is presented as a separate component of shareholders' equity.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

Inventory Costs

In November 2004, the Financial Accounting Standard Board ("FASB") issued SFAS No. 151, "Inventory Costs - an amendment of Accounting Research Bulletin ("ARB") No. 43, Chapter 4." SFAS No. 151 requires abnormal amounts of inventory costs related to idle facility, freight handling and wasted material expenses to be recognised as current period charges. Additionally, SFAS No. 151 requires that allocation of fixed production overheads to the costs of conversion be based on the normal capacity of the production facilities. SFAS No. 151 is effective for fiscal years beginning after 15 June 2005. The adoption of this standard did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

American Jobs Creation Act

In October 2004, the President of the United States signed into law the American Jobs Creation Act (the "Act"). The Act allows for a US federal income tax deduction for a percentage of income earned from certain US production activities. Based on the effective date of the Act, the Company was eligible for this deduction in the first quarter of fiscal year 2006. Additionally, in December 2004, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position ("FSP") 109-1, "Application of FASB Statement No. 109, Accounting for Income Taxes ("SFAS No. 109"), to the Tax Deduction on Qualified Production Activities Provided by the American Jobs Creation Act of 2004." FSP 109-1, which was effective upon issuance, states the deduction under this provision of the Act should be accounted for as a special deduction in accordance with SFAS No. 109. The adoption of this standard did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

The Act also allows for an 85% dividends received deduction on the repatriation of certain earnings of foreign subsidiaries. In December 2004, the FASB issued FSP 109-2, "Accounting and Disclosure Guidance for the Foreign Earnings Repatriation Provision within the American Jobs Creation Act of 2004." FSP 109-2, which was effective upon issuance, allows companies time beyond the financial reporting period of enactment to evaluate the effect of the Act on its plan for reinvestment or repatriation of foreign earnings for purposes of applying SFAS No. 109. Additionally, FSP 109-2 provides guidance regarding the required disclosures surrounding a company's reinvestment or repatriation of foreign earnings. The adoption of this standard did not have a material effect on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

Exchanges of Non-Monetary Assets

In December 2004, the FASB issued SFAS No. 153, "Exchange of Non-Monetary Assets - An Amendment of ARB Opinion No. 29," which requires non-monetary asset exchanges to be accounted for at fair value. The Company is required to adopt the provisions of SFAS No. 153 for non-monetary exchanges occurring in fiscal periods beginning after 15 June 2005. The adoption of this standard did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

Share-Based Payment

In December 2004, the FASB issued SFAS No. 123 (revised 2004), "Share-Based Payment" ("SFAS No. 123R"). SFAS No. 123R replaces SFAS No. 123 and supersedes APB Opinion No. 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees." Generally, SFAS No. 123R is similar in approach to SFAS No. 123 and requires that compensation cost relating to share-based payments be recognised in the financial statements based on the fair value of the equity or liability instruments issued. SFAS No. 123R is effective as of the beginning of the first interim or annual reporting period that begins after 15 June 2005. In April 2005, the United States Securities and Exchange Commission delayed the effective date of SFAS No. 123R until fiscal years beginning after 15 June 2005. The Company adopted SFAS No. 123 in fiscal year 2003 and does not expect the adoption of SFAS No. 123R, which will occur in the first quarter of fiscal year 2007 to have a material effect on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

James Hardie Industries N.V. and Subsidiaries Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Conditional Asset Retirement Obligations

In March 2005, the FASB issued Financial Interpretation No. ("FIN 47"), "Accounting for Conditional Asset Retirement Obligations." FIN 47 clarifies the term "conditional asset retirement obligation" used in SFAS No. 143, "Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations." FIN 47 is effective no later than the end of the fiscal year ending after 15 December 2005. The adoption of this standard did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

Accounting Changes and Error Corrections

In May 2005, the FASB issued SFAS No. 154, "Accounting Changes and Error Corrections - a replacement of APB Opinion No. 20 and FASB Statement No. 3." SFAS No. 154 requires retrospective application to prior periods' financial statements of a voluntary change in accounting principle unless it is impracticable. APB Opinion No. 20, "Accounting Changes," previously required that most voluntary changes in accounting principle be recognised by including in net income of the period of the change the cumulative effect of changing to the new accounting principle. This statement is effective for accounting changes and corrections of errors made in fiscal years beginning after 15 December 2005. The adoption of this standard will not have a material impact on its consolidated financial statements.

Uncertain Tax Positions

In July 2005, the FASB issued an exposure draft of a proposed interpretation "Accounting for Uncertain Tax Positions." The proposed interpretation clarifies the accounting for uncertain tax positions in accordance with SFAS No. 109. The proposed interpretation requires that a tax position meet a "probable recognition threshold" for the benefit of the uncertain tax position to be recognised in the financial statements. A tax position that fails to meet the probable recognition threshold will result in either reduction of current or deferred tax asset or receivable, or recording a current or deferred tax liability. The proposed interpretation also provides guidance on measurement, derecognition of tax benefits, classification, interim reporting disclosure and transition requirements in accounting for uncertain tax positions. The exposure draft has not yet been finalized. If and when finalized, the Company will determine the impact, if any, on its consolidated financial statements.

3. Cash and Cash Equivalents

Cash and cash equivalents include amounts on deposit in banks and cash invested temporarily in various highly liquid financial instruments with original maturities of three months or less.

Cash and cash equivalents consist of the following components:

		31 March						
(Millions of US dollars)	2006			2005				
Cash at bank and on hand Short-term deposits	\$	24.9 290.2	\$	28.6 84.9				
Total cash and cash equivalents	\$	315.1	\$	113.5				

Short-term deposits are placed at floating interest rates varying between 4.6% to 4.85% and 2.70% to 2.76% as of 31 March 2006 and 2005, respectively. Included in Cash at Bank and on hand is US\$ 5.0 million of restricted cash as of 31 March 2006.

4. Accounts and Notes Receivable

Accounts and notes receivable consist of the following components:

		1		
(Millions of US dollars)		2006		2005
Trade receivables Other receivables and advances Allowance for doubtful accounts	\$	146.5 8.0 (1.3)	\$	121.6 7.1 (1.5)
Total accounts and notes receivable	\$	153.2	\$	127.2

The collectibility of accounts receivable, consisting mainly of trade receivables, is reviewed on an ongoing basis and an allowance for doubtful accounts is provided for known and estimated bad debts. The following are changes in the allowance for doubtful accounts:

		31 N	/larch		
(Millions of US dollars)	2	2006			
Balance at 1 April	\$	1.5	\$	1.2	
Charged to expense		0.3		0.4	
Costs and deductions		(0.5)		(0.1)	
Balance at 31 March	\$	1.3	\$	1.5	

5. Inventories

Inventories consist of the following components:

		/larch	arch		
(Millions of US dollars)		2006	2005		
Finished goods	\$	84.1	\$	71.1	
Work-in-process		9.2		8.5	
Raw materials and supplies		33.0		22.4	
Provision for obsolete finished goods and raw materials		(2.3)		(2.1)	
Total inventories	\$	124.0	\$	99.9	

6. Property, Plant and Equipment

Depreciation

Other movements

Foreign currency translation adjustments

Total changes

Net book value

Balance at 31 March 2006:

Accumulated depreciation

Impairment

Cost

Property, plant and equipment consist of the following components:

(Millions of US dollars)	Land	В	uildings		achinery and uipment		struction Progress	Total
Balance at 1 April 2004:								
Cost	\$ 11.3	\$	135.0	\$	562.8	\$	63.0	\$ 772.1
Accumulated depreciation	-		(21.0)		(184.0)		-	(205.0)
Net book value	 11.3		114.0		378.8		63.0	 567.1
Changes in net book value:								
Capital expenditures	0.2		3.2		32.5		117.1	153.0
Retirements and sales	-		-		-		(4.1)	(4.1)
Depreciation	-		(4.5)		(31.8)		-	(36.3)
Other movements	-		-		3.4		-	3.4
Foreign currency translation adjustments	-		-		2.6		-	2.6
Total changes	 0.2		(1.3)		6.7		113.0	 118.6
Balance at 31 March 2005:								
Cost	11.5		131.1		606.6		176.6	925.8
Accumulated depreciation	-		(24.4)		(215.7)		-	(240.1)
Net book value	\$ 11.5	\$	106.7	\$	390.9	\$	176.6	\$ 685.7
				Ma	achinery and	Con	struction	
	Land	Bu	uildings	Eq	uipment	in F	Progress	 Total
Balance at 1 April 2005:								
Cost	\$ 11.5	\$	131.1	\$	606.6	\$	176.6	\$ 925.8
Accumulated depreciation	 -		(24.4)		(215.7)		-	 (240.1)
Net book value	11.5		106.7		390.9		176.6	685.7
Changes in net book value:								
Capital expenditures	4.1		16.4		90.8		51.5	162.8
Retirements and sales	-		-		(8.9)		-	(8.9)

(7.3)

9.1

147.5

(31.7)

115.8

\$

_

_

(38.0)

(13.4)

(0.9)

(4.4)

25.2

669.8

(253.7)

416.1

\$

(45.3)

(13.4)

(0.9)

(4.4)

89.9

1,061.0

(285.4)

775.6

51.5

228.1

228.1

\$

Construction in progress consists of plant expansions and upgrades.

Interest related to the construction of major facilities is capitalised and included in the cost of the asset to which it relates. Interest capitalised was US\$5.7 million, US\$5.9 million and US\$1.6 million for the years ended 31 March 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively. Depreciation expense for continuing operations was US\$45.3 million, US\$36.3 million and US\$35.9 million for the years ended 31 March 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively. The impairment charge for the pilot roofing plant was US\$13.4 million for the year ended 31 March 2006.

4.1

15.6

15.6

\$

\$

7. Retirement Plans

The Company sponsors a US retirement plan, the James Hardie Retirement and Profit Sharing Plan, for its employees in the United States and a retirement plan, the James Hardie Australia Superannuation Plan, for its employees in Australia. The US retirement plan is a tax-qualified defined contribution retirement and savings plan covering all US employees subject to certain eligibility requirements and matches employee contributions (subject to limitations) dollar for dollar up to 6% of their salary or base compensation. The James Hardie Australia Superannuation Plan has two types of participants. Participants who joined the plan prior to 1 July 2003 have rights and benefits that are accounted for as a defined benefit plan in the Company's consolidated financial statements while participants who joined the plan subsequent to 1 July 2003 have rights and benefits that are accounted for as a defined contribution plan in the Company's consolidated financial statements. The James Hardie Australia Superannuation Plan is funded based on statutory requirements in Australia. The Company's expense for its defined contribution plans totaled US\$2.6 million, US\$5.2 million and US\$3.8 million for the years ended 31 March 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively. Details of the defined benefit component of the James Hardie Australia Superannuation Plan ("Defined Benefit Plan") are as follows.

The investment strategy/policy of the Defined Benefit Plan is set by the Trustee (Mercer) for each investment option. The strategy includes the selection of a long-term mix of investments (asset classes) that supports the option's aims.

The aims of the Mercer Growth option, in which the Defined Benefit Plan assets are invested, are:

- to achieve a rate of return (net of tax and investment expenses) that exceeds inflation (CPI) increases by at least 3% per annum over a moving five year period;
- to achieve a rate of return (net of tax and investment expenses) above the median result for the Mercer Pooled Fund Survey over a rolling three year period; and
- over shorter periods, outperform the notional return of the benchmark mix of investments.

The assets are invested by appointing professional investment managers and/or from time to time investing in a range of investment vehicles offered by professional investment managers.

Investment managers may utilise derivatives in managing investment portfolios for the Trustee. However, the Trustee does not undertake day-to-day management of derivative instruments. Derivatives may be used, among other things, to manage risk (e.g., for currency hedging). Losses from derivatives can occur (e.g., due to stock market movements). The Trustee seeks to manage risk by placing limits on the extent of derivative use in any relevant Investment Management Agreements between the Trustee and investment managers. The Trustee also considers the risks and the controls set out in the managers' Risk Management Statements. The targeted ranges of asset allocations are:

Equity securities	40-75%
Debt securities	15-60%
Real estate	0-20%

The following are the actual asset allocations by asset category for the Defined Benefit Plan:

	Years En 31 Marc	
	2006	2005
	%	%
Equity securities	48.8	36.6
Fixed interest	15.1	12.7
Real estate	5.7	4.7
Cash	30.4	46.0
Total	100.0	100.0

The following are the components of net periodic pension cost for the Defined Benefit Plan:

	Years Ended 31 March					
(Millions of US dollars)	2006 2005		2	2004		
Service cost	\$	1.9	\$	2.5	\$	2.9
Interest cost		2.3		2.5		2.9
Expected return on plan assets		(2.6)		(3.2)		(3.6)
Amortisation of unrecognised transition asset		-		-		(0.9)
Amortisation of prior service costs		-		0.1		0.1
Recognised net actuarial loss		0.4		0.4		0.4
Net periodic pension cost		2.0		2.3		1.8
Settlement loss		0.9		5.3		-
Net pension cost	\$	2.9	\$	7.6	\$	1.8

The settlement losses in fiscal year 2006 and 2005 relate to lump sum payments made to terminated participants of the Defined Benefit Plan and are included in other operating expense in the consolidated statements of operations.

The following are the assumptions used in developing the net periodic cost and projected benefit obligation as of 31 March for the Defined Benefit Plan:

	3	1 March	
	2006	2005	2004
	%	%	%
Net Periodic Benefit Cost Assumptions:			
Discount rate	6.5	6.5	6.8
Rate of increase in compensation	4.0	4.0	3.5
Expected return on plan assets	6.5	6.5	6.8
Projected Benefit Obligation Assumptions:			
Discount rate	6.0	6.5	6.5
Rate of increase in compensation	4.0	4.0	4.0

The discount rate methodology is based on the yield on 10-year high quality investment securities in Australia adjusted to reflect the rates at which pension benefits could be effectively settled. The change in the discount rate used on the projected benefit obligation from 2005 to 2006 is a direct

result of the change in yields of high quality investment securities over the same periods, adjusted to rates at which pension benefits could be effectively settled. The increase in the rate of increase in compensation under the projected benefit obligation assumption from 2004 to 2005 reflects an increase in the expected margin of compensation increases over price inflation. The decrease in the expected return on plan assets from 2004 to 2005 was a result of lower expected after-tax rates of return. The expected return on plan assets assumption is determined by weighting the expected long-term return for each asset class by the target/actual allocation of assets to each class. The returns used for each class are net of investment tax and investment fees. Net unrecognised gains and losses are amortised over the average remaining service period of active employees. A market related value of assets is used to determine pension costs with the difference between actual and expected investment return each year recognised over five years.

The following are the actuarial changes in the benefit obligation, changes in plan assets and the funded status of the Defined Benefit Plan:

		Ende Iarch		
(Millions of US dollars)	:	2006		2005
Changes in benefit obligation:				
Benefit obligation at 1 April	\$	37.6	\$	40.7
Service cost		1.9		2.5
Interest cost		2.3		2.5
Plan participants' contributions		0.6		0.9
Actuarial loss		2.7		2.0
Benefits paid		(6.7)		(11.4)
Foreign currency translation		(2.8)		0.4
Benefit obligation at 31 March	\$	35.6	\$	37.6
Changes in plan assets:				
Fair value of plan assets at 1 April	\$	37.7	\$	41.2
Actual return on plan assets		6.6		4.7
Employer contributions		1.2		1.8
Participant contributions		0.6		0.9
Benefits paid		(6.7)		(11.4)
Foreign currency translation		(2.9)		0.5
Fair value of plan assets at 31 March	\$	36.5	\$	37.7
Funded status	\$	0.9	\$	0.1
Unrecognised actuarial loss		5.2		8.3
Other assets	\$	6.1	\$	8.4

The following table provides further details of the Defined Benefit Plan:

		Years 31 N	Ende Iarch	d	
(Millions of US dollars)	2006		:	2005	
Projected benefit obligation Accumulated benefit obligation Fair market value of plan assets	\$	35.6 35.6 36.5	\$	37.6 37.6 37.7	

The Defined Benefit Plan measurement date is 31 March 2006. The Company expects to make contributions to the Defined Benefit Plan of approximately US\$1.4 million during fiscal year 2007.

The following are the expected Defined Benefit Plan benefits to be paid in each of the following ten fiscal years:

	Years Ended		
(Millions of US dollars)	31	March	
2007	\$	3.2	
2008		2.1	
2009		2.2	
2010		2.6	
2011		2.6	
2012 - 2016		13.0	
Estimated future benefit payments	\$	25.7	

8. Accounts Payable and Accrued Liabilities

Accounts payable and accrued liabilities consist of the following components:

		Years 31 M	Ende /Iarch	
(Millions of US dollars)	2006			2005
Trade creditors	\$	66.0	\$	65.3
Other creditors and accruals		51.8		28.7
Total accounts payable and accrued liabilities	\$	117.8	\$	94.0

9. Short and Long-Term Debt

Long-term debt consists of the following components:

	Years Ended 31 March			
(Millions of US dollars)	2006		2005	
US\$ noncollateralised notes - current portion	\$ 121.7	\$	25.7	
US\$ noncollateralised notes - long-term portion Total debt at 7.11% average rate	- \$ 121.7	\$	121.7 147.4	

James Hardie Industries N.V. and Subsidiaries Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

The US\$ non-collateralised notes form part of a seven tranche private placement facility which provides for maximum borrowings of US\$165.0 million. Principal repayments are due in seven installments that commenced on 5 November 2004 and end on 5 November 2013. The tranches bear fixed interest rates of 6.86%, 6.92%, 6.99%, 7.05%, 7.12%, 7.24% and 7.42%. Interest is payable 5 May and 5 November each year. The first tranche of US\$17.6 million was repaid in November 2004.

As a result of the recording of the asbestos provision at 31 March 2006, and the Supervisory Board's approval of this on 12 May 2006, the Company would not have been in compliance with certain of the restrictive covenants in respect of the US\$ non-collateralised notes. However, under the terms of the non-collateralised notes agreement, prepayment of these notes is permitted and on 28 April 2006, the Company issued a notice to all note holders to prepay in full all outstanding notes on 8 May 2006. On that date the US\$ non-collateralised notes were prepaid in full, incurring a make-whole payment of US\$6.0 million.

The Company's credit facilities currently consist of a 364-day term facilities in the amount of US\$110.0 million, which mature in December 2006 and term facilities in the amount of US\$245.0 million, which mature in June 2006. For both facilities, interest is calculated at the commencement of each draw-down period based on the US\$ London Interbank Offered Rate ("LIBOR") plus the margins of individual lenders, and is payable at the end of each draw-down period. During the year ended 31 March 2006, the Company paid US\$0.7 million in commitment fees. At 31 March 2006, there was US\$181.0 million drawn under the combined facilities and US\$ 174.0 million was available.

The Company has requested that its lenders extend the maturity date of the 364-day term facilities from December 2006 to June 2007 and the maturity date of the other term facilities to December 2006. Upon satisfaction of the conditions precedent to the full implementation of the FFA, including lender approval, the maturity date of the other term facilities will be automatically extended until June 2010. As a result of recording the asbestos provision at 31 March 2006, and the Supervisory Board's approval of this on 12 May 2006, the company would not have been in compliance with certain of the restrictive covenants in respect of the US\$ non-collateralised notes. However, under the terms of the non-collateralised notes agreement, prepayment of these notes is permitted, and on 28 April 2006 the company issued a notice to all noteholders to prepay in full all outstanding notes on 8 May 2006. On that date, the US\$ non-collateralised notes were prepaid in full, incurring a make-whole payment of US\$6.0 million. In the fourth quarter, US\$181.0 million was drawn down on the credit facilities in anticipation of the prepayment of the US\$ non-collateralised notes as described above.

The company anticipates being able to meet its payment obligations from:

- existing cash and unutilised committed facilities;
- net operating cash flow during the current year;
- an extension of the term of existing credit facilities; and
- the addition of proposed new funding facilities.

However, If the conditions precedent to the full implementation of the FFA are not satisfied, the company may not be able to renew its credit facilities on substantially similar terms, or at all; may have to pay additional fees and expenses that it might not have to pay under normal circumstances; and it may have to agree to terms that could increase the cost of its debt structure. Additionally, in order to appeal the amended Australian income tax assessment referred to above, pursuant to the ATO Receivables Policy, the company is required to post a cash deposit in an amount which could be as large as the amount of the entire assessment. Even if the company is ultimately successful in its appeal and the cash deposit is refunded, this procedural requirement to post a cash deposit could materially and adversely affect the company's financial position and liquidity. If the company is unable to extend its credit facilities, or is unable to renew its credit facilities on terms that are

substantially similar to the ones it presently has, it may experience liquidity issues and will have to reduce its levels of planned capital expenditures and/or take other measures to conserve cash in order to meet its future cash flow requirements.

At 31 March 2006, management believes that the Company was in compliance with all restrictive covenants contained in the non-collateralised notes, revolving loan facility and the stand-by credit facility agreements. Under the most restrictive of these covenants, the Company is required to maintain certain ratios of debt to equity and net worth and levels of earnings before interest and taxes and has limits on how much it can spend on an annual basis in relation to asbestos payments to either Amaca Pty Ltd (formerly James Hardie & Coy Pty Ltd) ("Amaca"), Amaba Pty Ltd (formerly Jsekarb Pty Ltd) ("Amaba") or ABN 60 Pty Ltd ("ABN 60").

10. Non-Current Other Liabilities

Non-current other liabilities consist of the following components:

	Years Ended 31 March				
(Millions of US dollars)		2006		2005	
Employee entitlements	\$	17.0	\$	5.3	
Product liability		0.7		4.7	
Other		27.3		51.7	
Total non-current other liabilities	\$	45.0	\$	61.7	

11. Product Warranties

The Company offers various warranties on its products, including a 50-year limited warranty on certain of its fibre cement siding products in the United States. A typical warranty program requires that the Company replace defective products within a specified time period from the date of sale. The Company records an estimate for future warranty related costs based on an analysis of actual historical warranty costs as they relate to sales. Based on this analysis and other factors, the adequacy of the Company's warranty provisions are adjusted as necessary. While the Company's warranty costs have historically been within its calculated estimates, it is possible that future warranty costs could exceed those estimates.

Additionally, the Company includes in its accrual for product warranties amounts for a Class Action Settlement Agreement (the "Settlement Agreement") related to its previous roofing product, which is no longer manufactured in the United States. On 14 February 2002, the Company signed the Settlement Agreement for all product, warranty and property related liability claims associated with its previously manufactured roofing products. These products were removed from the marketplace between 1995 and 1998 in areas where there had been any alleged problems. The total amount included in the product warranty provision relating to the Settlement Agreement is US\$5.7 million and US\$5.8 million as of 31 March 2006 and 2005, respectively.

The following are the changes in the product warranty provision:

	Years Ended 31 March			
(Millions of US dollars)	2006		2005	
Balance at beginning of period	\$	12.9	\$	12.0
Accruals for product warranties		6.2		4.3
Settlements made in cash or in kind		(3.4)		(3.4)
Foreign currency translation adjustments		(0.2)		-
Balance at end of period	\$	15.5	\$	12.9

The "Accruals for product warranties" line item above includes an additional accrual of US\$0.6 million for the year ended 31 March 2006 related to the Settlement Agreement. This increase reflects the results of the Company's most recent estimate of its total exposure. The "Settlements made in cash or in kind" line item above includes settlements related to the Settlement Agreement of US\$0.7 million and US\$0.9 million for the years ended 31 March 2006 and 2005, respectively.

12. Commitments and Contingencies

Commitment to provide funding on a long-term basis in respect of Asbestos-Related liabilities of former subsidiaries

On 1 December 2005, the Company announced that it, the NSW Government and a wholly owned Australian subsidiary of the Company (LGTDD Pty Ltd, described below as the "Performing Subsidiary") had entered into a conditional agreement (the "Final Funding Agreement") to provide long-term funding to a special purpose fund ("SPF") that will provide compensation for Australian asbestos-related personal injury claims against certain former James Hardie companies (being Amaca Pty Ltd ("Amaca"), Amaba Pty Ltd ("Amaba") and ABN 60 Pty Ltd ("ABN 60")) (the "Former James Hardie Companies").

Key events occurring since 2001 that led to the signing of the Final Funding Agreement ("FFA") are summarised further below.

The FFA remains subject to a number of conditions precedent, including the receipt of an independent expert's report confirming that the funding proposal is in the best interests of the Company and its enterprise as a whole, approval of the Company's shareholders and lenders, and confirmation satisfactory to the Company's Board of Directors, acting reasonably, that the contributions to be made by JHI NV and the Performing Subsidiary under the FFA will be tax deductible and the SPF will be exempt from Australian federal income tax on its income.

In summary, the FFA provides for the following key steps to occur if the conditions precedent to that agreement are satisfied or waived in writing by the parties:

- the establishment of the SPF to provide compensation to Australian asbestos-related personal injury claimants with proven claims against the Former James Hardie Companies;
- initial funding of approximately A\$154 million provided by the Performing Subsidiary to the SPF, calculated on the basis of an actuarial report prepared by KPMG Actuaries Pty Ltd ("KPMG Actuaries") as of 31 March 2006. That report provided an estimate of the discounted net present value of all present and future Australian asbestos-related personal injury claims against the Former James Hardie Companies of A\$1.52 billion (US\$1.14 billion). The

undiscounted/uninflated value of the estimate of those liabilities was approximately A\$1.75 billion (US\$1.31 billion);

- a two-year rolling cash buffer in the SPF and, subject to the cap described below, an annual contribution in advance to top up those funds to equal the actuarially calculated estimate of expected Australian asbestos-related personal injury claims against the Former James Hardie Companies for the following three years, to be revised annually;
- a cap on the annual payments made by the Performing Subsidiary to the SPF, initially set at 35% of the Company's free cash flow (defined as cash from operations in accordance with US GAAP in force at the date of the FFA) for the immediately preceding financial year, with provisions for the percentage to decline over time depending upon the Company's financial performance (and therefore the contributions already made to the SPF) and the claims outlook;
- an initial term of approximately 40 years, at which time the parties may either agree upon a final payment to be made by the Company in satisfaction of any further funding obligations, or have the term automatically extended for further periods of 10 years until such agreement is reached or the relevant asbestos-related liabilities cease to arise;
- the entry by the parties and/or others into agreements to or connected with the FFAs (the "Related Agreements");
- no cap on individual payments to asbestos claimants;
- the Performing Subsidiary's payment obligations are guaranteed by the James Hardie Industries N.V.;
- the SPF's claims to the funding payments required under the FFA will be subordinated to the claims of the Company's lenders; and
- the compensation arrangements will extend to members of the Baryulgil community for asbestos-related claims arising from the activities of a former subsidiary of ABN 60 (as described below).

In addition to entering into the FFA, one or more of the Company, the Performing Subsidiary, the SPF and the NSW Government have entered into a number of ancillary agreements to or connected with the FFAs (the "Related Agreements"), including a trust deed for the establishment of the SPF, a deed of guarantee under which James Hardie Industries N.V. provides the guarantee described above, intercreditor deeds to achieve the subordination arrangements described above and deeds of release in connection with the releases from civil liability described below.

The Company considers that the principal outstanding conditions to be fulfilled before the FFA becomes effective are those relating to the taxation treatment in Australia of payments made by the Performing Subsidiary to the SPF, the tax exempt status of the SPF, and approval of the FFA by the Company's shareholders. The Company is in discussions relating to the taxation issues described above with the Australian Federal Commissioner of Taxation and is seeking confirmation in a form binding on the Commissioner that those conditions have been satisfied including in relation to the impact of legislation which took effect on 6 April 2006 and which is described further below.

In relation to the approval of the FFA by the Company's shareholders, the Company has undertaken significant work towards preparing the necessary documentation to be sent to shareholders, but at present is unable to specify a date for holding the relevant meeting. The Company considers that it can only properly put the proposal to shareholders once the tax issues described above have been resolved, since as further described below, such issues materially affect the affordability of the proposal which shareholders will be asked to approve.

The recording of the asbestos provision is in accordance with US accounting standards because it is probable that the company will make payments to fund asbestos-related claims on a long-term basis.

James Hardie Industries N.V. and Subsidiaries Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

The amount of the asbestos provision of US\$715.6 million (A\$1.0 billion) at 31 March 2006 is the Company's best estimate of the probable outcome. This estimate is based on the terms of the FFA, which includes an actuarial estimate prepared by KPMG Actuaries Pty Ltd ("KPMG Actuaries") as of 31 March 2006 of the projected future cash outflows, undiscounted and uninflated, and the anticipated tax deduction arising from Australian legislation which came into force on 6 April 2006. The Company's ability to obtain this tax deduction under legislation remains the subject of an ongoing application to the Australian Tax Office ("ATO"). If the conditions precedent to the FFA, such as the tax deductibility of payments, are not met, the Company may seek to enter into an alternative arrangement under which it would make payments for the benefit of asbestos claimants. Under alternative arrangements, the estimate may change.

Even if conditions to the Company's funding obligations under the FFA, including the achievement of tax deductibility, are not fulfilled, the Company has determined that it is nevertheless likely that it will make payments in respect of certain claimants who were injured by asbestos products manufactured by certain former Australian subsidiary companies. The Board of James Hardie has made it clear that, in a manner consistent with its obligations to shareholders and other stakeholders in the company, it intends to proceed with fair and equitable actions to compensate the injured parties. Any such alternative settlement may be subject to conditions precedent and would require lender and shareholder approval. However, if James Hardie proceeds with an alternative settlement without the assurance of tax deductibility, it is likely, as a function of economic reality, that the company will have less funds to support payments in respect of asbestos claims. While the company continues to hope that the conditions precedent to the FFA will be fulfilled, it has determined that its intention to continue to proceed responsibly in either event makes it appropriate for the Company to record the asbestos provision in the amounts set forth in the financial statements.

Key events since 2001 leading to the signing of the FFA

Separation of Amaca Pty Ltd and Amaba Pty Ltd and ABN 60

In February 2001, ABN 60, formerly known as James Hardie Industries Limited ("JHIL"), established the Medical Research and Compensation Foundation (the "Foundation") by gifting A\$3.0 million (US\$1.7 million) in cash and transferring ownership of Amaca and Amaba to the Foundation. The Foundation is a special purpose charitable foundation established to fund medical and scientific research into asbestos-related diseases. Amaca and Amaba were Australian companies which had manufactured and marketed asbestos-related products prior to 1987.

The Foundation is managed by independent trustees and operates entirely independently of the Company and its current subsidiaries. The Company does not control (directly or indirectly) the activities of the Foundation in any way and, effective from 16 February 2001, has not owned or controlled (directly or indirectly) the activities of Amaca or Amaba. In particular, the trustees of the Foundation are responsible for the effective management of claims against Amaca and Amaba, and for the investment of Amaca's and Amaba's assets. Other than the offers to provide interim funding to the Foundation and the indemnity to the directors of ABN 60 as described below, the Company has no direct legally binding commitment to or interest in the Foundation. None of the Foundation, Amaca, Amaba or ABN 60 are parties to the FFA described above, and none of those entities has obtained any directly enforceable rights under that agreement or the related agreements contemplated under that agreement.

On 31 March 2003, the Company transferred control of ABN 60 to a newly established company named ABN 60 Foundation Pty Ltd ("ABN 60 Foundation"). ABN 60 Foundation was established to be the sole shareholder of ABN 60 and to ensure that ABN 60 met the payment obligations owed to the Foundation under the terms of a deed of covenant and indemnity described below. Following the establishment of the ABN 60 Foundation, the Company no longer owned any shares in ABN 60. ABN 60 Foundation is managed by independent directors and operates entirely independently of the Company. Since that date, the Company has not and currently does not control the activities of ABN

60 or ABN 60 Foundation in any way, it has no economic interest in ABN 60 or ABN 60 Foundation, and it has no right to dividends or capital distributions made by the ABN 60 Foundation.

Under the FFA and under legislation associated with that agreement described below, it is contemplated that following the establishment of the SPF and as part of the satisfaction of the conditions precedent to the FFA, the Company will, subject to limited exceptions, be entitled to appoint a majority of directors on the board of directors of the SPF, which will in turn be empowered under that legislation to issue certain specified directions to the boards of directors of the Former James Hardie Companies. That legislation also imposes statutory obligations upon the Former James Hardie Companies to comply with such directions, and the NSW Government may require the directors of the trustees of the Foundation and of the ABN 60 Foundation to resign pursuant to powers granted under the James Hardie Former Subsidiaries (Special Provisions) Act 2005.

Potential for claims against the Former James Hardie Companies to be made against the Company Up to the date of the establishment of the Foundation, Amaca and Amaba incurred costs of asbestos-related litigation and settlements. From time to time, ABN 60 was joined as a party to asbestos suits which were primarily directed at Amaca and Amaba. Because Amaca, Amaba and ABN 60 were not or have not been a part of the Company since the time of establishment of the Foundation and the ABN 60 Foundation, no provision for asbestos-related claims was established in the Company's consolidated financial statements prior to 31 March 2006.

The FFA does not confer upon the Former James Hardie Companies any directly enforceable rights against the Company in respect of the funding obligations. Similarly, the FFA does not create any directly enforceable rights in favour of any persons who may have personal injury claims against the Former James Hardie Companies and that agreement does not seek to make the Company or any current member of the James Hardie Group directly liable for damages for personal injury or death in connection with the former manufacture or sale of asbestos products by Amaca, Amaba or ABN 60. The funding obligations of the Performing Subsidiary and the Company to the SPF will be enforceable by the SPF and, in certain circumstances, directly by the NSW Government.

Apart from the funding obligations arising under the FFA, it is possible that the Company could become subject to suits for damages for personal injury or death in connection with the former manufacture or sale of asbestos products that have been or may be filed against Amaca, Amaba or ABN 60. However, as described further below, the ability of any claimants to initiate or pursue such suits is restricted by legislation enacted by the NSW Government pursuant to the FFA. Although it is difficult to predict the incidence or outcome of future litigation, and thus no assurances as to such incidence or outcome can be given, the Company believes that, in the absence of new legislation or a change in jurisprudence as adopted in prior case law before the NSW Supreme Court and Federal High Court, as more fully described below, the Company's liability with respect to such suits if such suits could be successfully asserted directly against the Company is not probable and estimable at this time. This belief is based on the following factors: following the transfers of Amaca and Amaba to the Foundation and of ABN 60 to the ABN 60 Foundation, none of those companies has been part of the Company and while those companies are proposed to become subsidiaries of the SPF as part of the steps to implement the FFA, neither the SPF nor the Company will thereby assume the liabilities of the Former James Hardie Companies under Australian law; the separateness of corporate entities under Australian law; the limited circumstances in which "piercing the corporate veil" might occur under Australian and Dutch law; the absence of an equivalent under Australian common law of the US legal doctrine of "successor liability"; the effect of the James Hardie (Civil Liability) Act 2005 and the James Hardie (Civil Penalty Compensation Release) Act 2005 as described further below; and the belief that the principle applicable under Dutch law, to the effect that transferees of assets may be held liable for the transferor's liabilities when they acquire assets at a price that leaves the transferor with insufficient assets to meet claims, is not triggered by the transfers of Amaca, Amaba and ABN 60, the restructure of the Company in 2001, or previous group transactions. The courts in Australia have generally refused to hold parent entities responsible for the liabilities of their subsidiaries absent any finding of fraud, agency, direct operational responsibility or the like.

However, if suits are made possible and/or successfully brought, they could have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, results of operations or financial condition.

In New Zealand, where RCI Holdings Pty Ltd owns a subsidiary that formerly manufactured asbestos-containing products, claims have been made against the statutory fund established under New Zealand's accident compensation regime (rather than against the subsidiary). The relevant legislation at present is the Injury Prevention, Rehabilitation and Compensation Act 2001 (NZ). Where there is cover under this legislation, claims for compensatory damages are barred. Although claims not barred by the legislation could still be brought in some circumstances, any such claims are not currently estimable.

During the period ended 31 March 2006, the Company has not been a party to any material asbestos litigation and has not made any settlement payments in relation to any such litigation.

Under US laws, the doctrine of "successor liability" provides that an acquirer of the assets of a business can, in certain jurisdictions and under certain circumstances, be held responsible for liabilities arising from the conduct of that business prior to the acquisition, notwithstanding the absence of a contractual arrangement between the acquirer and the seller pursuant to which the acquirer agreed to assume such liabilities.

The general principle under Australian law is that, in the absence of a contractual agreement to transfer specified liabilities of a business, and where there is no fraudulent conduct, the liabilities remain with the corporation that previously carried on the business and are not passed on to the acquirer of assets. Prior to March 2004, the Company leased manufacturing sites from Amaca, a former subsidiary that is now owned and controlled by the Foundation. In addition, the Company purchased certain plant and equipment and inventory from Amaca at fair value in connection with the first phase of the Company's restructuring. Each of these transactions involved only Australian companies and, accordingly, the Company believes the transactions are governed by Australian laws and not the laws of any other jurisdiction. The Company does not believe these transactions should give rise to the assumption by the Company of any asbestos-related liabilities (tortious or otherwise) under Australian law that may have been incurred during the period prior to the transfer of the assets.

Under Dutch law, a Dutch transferee of assets may be held responsible for the liabilities of the transferor following a transfer of assets if the transfer results in the transferor having insufficient assets to meet the claims of its creditors or if the transfer otherwise jeopardizes the position of the creditors of the transferor. The Company believes the transfer by ABN 60 of all of the shares of James Hardie N.V. ("JH NV") to JHI NV in the 2001 Restructuring will not result in the Company being held responsible as transferee under this rule because, upon the transfer and the implementation of the other aspects of the 2001 Restructuring, ABN 60 had the same financial resources to meet the claims of its creditors as it had prior to the transfer.

Special Commission of Inquiry

On 29 October 2003, the Foundation issued a press release stating that its "most recent actuarial analysis estimates that the compensation bill for the organisation could reach one billion Australian dollars in addition to those funds already paid out to claimants since the Foundation was formed and that existing funding could be exhausted within five years." In February 2004, the NSW Government established a Special Commission of Inquiry ("SCI") to investigate, among other matters described below, the circumstances in which the Foundation was established. The SCI was instructed to determine the current financial position of the Foundation and whether it would be likely to meet its future asbestos-related claims in the medium to long-term. It was also instructed to report on the circumstances in which the Foundation was separated from ABN 60 and whether this may have resulted in or contributed to a possible insufficiency of assets to meet future asbestos-related liabilities, and the circumstances in which any corporate restructure or asset transfers occurred within or in relation to the James Hardie Group prior to the funding of the Foundation to the extent

that this may have affected the Foundation's ability to meet its current and future liabilities. The SCI was also instructed to report on the adequacy of current arrangements available to the Foundation under the Corporations Act of Australia to assist the Foundation in managing its liabilities and whether reform was desirable in order to assist the Foundation in managing its obligations to current and future claimants.

On 14 July 2004, following the receipt of a new actuarial estimate of asbestos liabilities of the Foundation by KPMG Actuaries, the Company lodged a submission with the SCI stating that the Company would recommend to its shareholders that they approve the provision of an unspecified amount of additional funding to enable an effective statute-based scheme to compensate all future claimants for asbestos-related injuries for which Amaca and Amaba may become liable. The Company proposed that the statutory scheme include the following elements:

- speedy, fair and equitable compensation for all existing and future claimants, including objective criteria to reduce superimposed inflation. Superimposed inflation is inflation in claim awards above the underlying rate of inflation and is sometimes called judicial inflation;
- contributions to be made in a manner which provide certainty to claimants as to their entitlement, the scheme administrator as to the amount available for distribution, and the proposed contributors (including the Company) as to the ultimate amount of their contributions;
- significant reductions in legal costs through reduced and more abbreviated litigation; and
- limitation of legal avenues outside of the scheme.

The submission stated that the proposal was made without any admission of liability or prejudice to the Company's rights or defences.

The SCI issued its report on 21 September 2004. The following is a summary of the principal findings of the SCI relating to the Company based on the SCI's report and other information available to the Company. This summary does not contain all of the findings contained or observations made in the SCI report. It should be noted that the SCI is not a court and, therefore, its findings have no legal force.

Principal findings in favour of the Company

The principal findings in favour of the Company were that:

- the establishment of the Foundation was legally effective and causes of action which the Foundation, Amaba or Amaca might have against the James Hardie Group, its officers and advisers would be unlikely to result in any significant increase in the funds of Amaba, Amaca or the Foundation (putting this finding conversely, the Company is unlikely to face any significant liability to the Foundation, Amaba or Amaba as a result of the then current causes of action of such entities against the current members of the James Hardie Group);
- there was no finding that JHI NV had committed any material breach of any law as a result of the separation and reorganisation transactions which took place in 2001;
- many of the allegations and causes of action put forward by lawyers for the Foundation, Amaba and Amaca were "speculative"; and
- the SCI rejected the suggestion that JHI NV had breached any law or was part of a conspiracy in relation to the fact that the reorganisation scheme documents prepared in 2001 did not refer to the possibility of the partly-paid shares being cancelled (the shares were cancelled in 2003).

Other principal findings relevant to the Company The other principal findings relevant to the Company were that:

- as a practical (but not legal) matter, if the "right" amount (and not merely the minimum amount) of funding was not provided to the Foundation, the Company would face potential legislative, customer, union and public action to apply legislative and boycott measures and public pressure to ensure that the Company met any significant funding shortfall; and
- the directors of ABN 60 at the time of the cancellation of the partly-paid shares (Messrs Morley and Salter) effectively followed the instructions of JHI NV in relation to the cancellation. As a result, it might be concluded that JHI NV was a shadow director of ABN 60 at that time. However, while expressing some reservations about what occurred, the SCI did not find that the ABN 60 directors (including JHI NV as a shadow director) breached their duties in undertaking the cancellation.

Principal findings against ABN 60 (formerly called JHIL)

A number of further findings (positive and adverse) were also made in relation to ABN 60, which is not a current member of the James Hardie Group. Such findings were not directed against the Company. For the reasons provided above, the Company does not believe that it will have any liability under current Australian law if future liabilities of ABN 60 or ABN 60 Foundation exceed the funds available to those entities. This includes liabilities that may attach to ABN 60 or ABN 60 Foundation as a result of claims made, if successful, in connection with the transactions involved in the establishment of the ABN 60 Foundation and the separation of ABN 60 from the Company.

The SCI found that, given ABN 60's limited financial resources, ABN 60 would need to be able to succeed in making a claim against JHI NV in respect of the cancellation of the partly-paid shares before claims by Amaba or Amaca against ABN 60 had any practical value. Although expressing reservations about what occurred, the SCI did not find that the directors of ABN 60 had breached their duty in cancelling the partly-paid shares.

The SCI did not make any finding that any cause of action by ABN 60 with respect to the partly-paid shares was likely to succeed.

Principal findings against Mr Macdonald and Mr Shafron

The principal (but non-determinative) findings against Messrs Macdonald and Shafron pertained to their conduct while officers of ABN 60 in relation to:

- alleged false and misleading conduct associated with a 16 February 2001 press release, particularly regarding a statement that the Foundation was "fully funded" in contravention of New South Wales and Commonwealth legislation prohibiting false or misleading conduct;
- allegedly breaching their duties as officers of ABN 60 by encouraging the board of directors of ABN 60 to act on the Trowbridge report, dated 13 February 2001 (the "Trowbridge Report"), in forming a view that the Foundation would be "fully funded"; and
- criticisms, falling short of findings of contraventions of law, based on their respective roles in the separation and reorganization transactions. These included criticisms relating to their development, control over, reliance on and use of the Trowbridge Report, despite (in the SCI's view) their knowledge of its limitations.

The Commissioner noted that he had not carried out an exhaustive investigation and concluded that it was a matter for Commonwealth authorities (notably the Australian Securities and Investments Commission "ASIC") to determine whether any further action should be taken in relation to matters which the Commissioner considered comprised, or might be likely to have comprised, contraventions of Australian corporations law. The Commissioner acknowledged that in relation to various of his findings, there was an issue as to whether Amaba or Amaca suffered any loss or damage from the

actions reviewed by him but in this regard he did not find it necessary to reach any definitive conclusion.

In relation to the question of the funding of the Foundation, the SCI found that there was a significant shortfall in funds available to satisfy potential asbestos-related liabilities of Amaca, Amaba and ABN 60. In part, this was based on actuarial work commissioned by the Company indicating that the discounted value of the central estimate of the asbestos liabilities of Amaca and Amaba was approximately A\$1.573 billion as of 30 June 2003. The central estimate was calculated in accordance with Australian Actuarial Standards, which differ from generally accepted accounting principles in the United States. As of 30 June 2003, the undiscounted value of the central estimate of the asbestos liabilities of Amaca and Amaba, as determined by KPMG Actuaries, was approximately A\$3.403 billion (US\$2.272 billion). The SCI found that the net assets of the Foundation and the ABN 60 Foundation were not sufficient to meet these prospective liabilities and were likely to be exhausted in the first half of 2007.

In relation to the Company's statutory scheme proposal, the SCI reported that there were several issues that needed to be refined quite significantly but that it would be an appropriate starting point for devising a compensation scheme.

The SCI's findings are not binding and if the same issues were presented to a court, the court might come to different conclusions on one or more of the issues.

Events Following the SCI Findings

The NSW Government stated that it would not consider assisting the implementation of any proposal advanced by the Company unless it was the result of an agreement reached with the unions acting through the Australian Council of Trade Unions ("ACTU"), UnionsNSW (formerly known as the Labour Council of New South Wales), and a representative of the asbestos claimants (together, the "Representatives"). The statutory scheme that the Company proposed on 14 July 2004 was not accepted by the Representatives.

The Company continues to believe that, apart from the obligations it voluntarily assumed under the FFA described herein and as discussed below under the subheading "Interim Funding and ABN 60 Indemnity," under current Australian law, it is not legally liable for any shortfall in the assets of Amaca, Amaba, the Foundation, the ABN 60 Foundation or ABN 60.

Following the release of the SCI report, the Representatives and others indicated that they would encourage or continue to encourage consumers and union members in Australia and elsewhere to ban or boycott the Company's products, to demonstrate or otherwise create negative publicity toward the Company in order to influence the Company's approach to the discussions with the NSW Government or to encourage governmental action if the discussions were unsuccessful. The Company's financial position, results of operations and cash flows were affected by such bans and boycotts, although the impact was not material. The Representatives and others also indicated that they might take actions in an effort to influence the Company's shareholders, a significant number of which are located in Australia, to approve any proposed arrangement. Pursuant to the FFA, the Representatives agreed to use their best endeavours to achieve forthwith the lifting of all bans or boycotts on any products manufactured, produced or sold by the Company, and the Company and the Representatives signed a deed of release in December 2005 under which the Company agreed to release the Representatives and the members of the ACTU and UnionsNSW from civil liability arising in relation to bans or boycotts instituted as a result of the events described above. Such releases did not extend to any new bans or boycotts, if applicable, implemented after the date of signing of the FFA, or to any bans or boycotts which persisted beyond 1 January 2006. The Company is aware of a number of bans or boycotts having been lifted, and is monitoring the progress towards the lifting of a number of remaining bans or boycotts. However, if the conditions precedent to the FFA are not satisfied or if for any other reason that agreement is not implemented, it remains the case that fresh bans or boycotts could be implemented against the Company's products.

Any such measures, and the influences resulting from them, could have a material adverse impact on the Company's financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

On 28 October 2004, the NSW Premier announced that the NSW Government would seek the agreement of the Ministerial Council, comprising Ministers of the Commonwealth and the Australian States and Territories, to allow the NSW Government to pass legislation which he announced would "wind back James Hardie's corporate restructure and rescind the cancellation of A\$1.9 billion in partly-paid shares." The announcement said that "the laws will effectively enforce the liability (for asbestos-related claims) against the Dutch parent company."

On 5 November 2004, the Australian Attorney-General and the Parliamentary Secretary to the Treasurer (the two relevant ministers of the Australian Federal Government) issued a news release stating that the Ministerial Council for Corporations (the relevant body of Federal, State and Territory Ministers) ("MINCO") had unanimously agreed "to support a negotiated settlement that will ensure that victims of asbestos-related diseases receive full and timely compensation from James Hardie" and if "the current negotiations between James Hardie, the ACTU and asbestos victims do not reach an acceptable conclusion, MINCO also agreed in principle to consider options for legislative reform." The news release of 5 November 2004 indicated that treaties to enforce Australian judgments in Dutch and US courts are not required, but that the Australian Government had been involved in communications with Dutch and US authorities regarding arrangements to ensure that Australian judgments are able to be enforced where necessary. If the conditions precedent to the full implementation of the FFA are not satisfied or if otherwise the FFA is terminated by James Hardie, the Company is aware that legislative intervention may ensue but has no detailed information as to the content of any such legislation.

Heads of Agreement

On 21 December 2004, the Company announced that it had entered into a non-binding Heads of Agreement with the NSW Government and the Representatives which was expected to form the basis of a proposed binding agreement under which a subsidiary of the Company would agree to provide, and the Company would guarantee, funding payments to a special purpose fund established to provide funding on a long-term basis to be applied towards meeting proven asbestos-related personal injury and death claims ("Claims") against the Former James Hardie Companies. The Heads of Agreement set out the key principles in a more detailed legally binding agreement.

Negotiations between the NSW Government and the Company as to the terms of such legally binding agreement continued throughout 2005 and resulted in the execution of the FFA as described herein.

Extension of Heads of Agreement to cover Baryulgil claims

On 15 April 2005, the Company announced that it had extended the coverage of the funding arrangements agreed under the Heads of Agreement to enable the SPF to settle or meet proven Claims by members of the Baryulgil community in Australia against Asbestos Mines Pty Ltd ("Asbestos Mines"), which conducted asbestos-related mining activities in Baryugil, NSW. Asbestos Mines began mining at Baryulgil in 1944 as a joint venture between Wunderlich Ltd (now Seltsam Ltd, an entity of CSR Ltd) and a former James Hardie subsidiary (now Amaca Pty Ltd.) From 1954 until 1976, Asbestos Mines was a wholly owned subsidiary of James Hardie Industries Limited (now ABN 60). Asbestos Mines, which has subsequently been renamed Marlew Mining Pty Ltd, has not been part of the James Hardie Group since 1976, when it was sold to Woodsreef Mines Ltd (subsequently renamed Mineral Commodities Ltd). The Company has no current right to access any Claims information in relation to Claims against Asbestos Mines, and has no current involvement in the management or settlement of such Claims.

Interim Funding and ABN 60 Indemnity

The Company has previously announced a number of measures in relation to the funding position of the Foundation prior to the Company's entry into the FFA. On 3 December 2004, and in part as a

James Hardie Industries N.V. and Subsidiaries Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

result of initiatives undertaken by the Company, the Foundation received a payment of A\$88.5 million from ABN 60 for use in processing and meeting asbestos-related claims pursuant to the terms of a deed of covenant and indemnity which ABN 60, Amaca and Amaba had entered into in February 2001.

The Company facilitated the payment of such funds by granting an indemnity (under a separate deed of indemnity) to the directors of ABN 60, which it announced on 16 November 2004. Under the terms of that indemnity, the Company agreed to meet any liability incurred by the ABN 60 directors resulting from the release of the A\$88.5 million by ABN 60 to the Foundation. The Company believes that the release of funding by ABN 60 is in accordance with law and effective contracts and therefore the Company should not incur liability under this indemnity. The Company has not received any claim nor made any payments in relation to this indemnity.

Additionally, on 16 November 2004, the Company offered to provide funding to the Foundation on an interim basis for a period of up to six months from that date. Such funding would only be provided once existing Foundation funds (in particular, funding available to Amaca and Amaba) had been exhausted. On the basis of updated information provided to KPMG Actuaries by representatives of the Foundation as to the incidence of claims and the current net assets of the Amaca and Amaba, and assuming such incidence of claims continues, the Company considers that it is unlikely that the Foundation funds will be exhausted before late calendar year 2006.

On 31 March 2005, the Company announced that it would extend the timing of its commitment to assist the Foundation to obtain interim funding, if necessary, prior to the FFA being finalised in accordance with the updated timetable announced on that date.

The Company has not recorded a provision for either the proposed indemnity or the potential payments under the interim funding proposal. The Company has not been required to make any payments pursuant to this commitment.

With regard to the ABN 60 indemnity, there is no maximum value or limit on the amount of payments that may be required. As such, the Company is unable to disclose a maximum amount that could be required to be paid. The Company believes, however, that the expected value of any potential future payments resulting from the ABN 60 indemnity is zero and that the likelihood of any payment being required under this indemnity is remote.

Releases From Civil Liability

The FFA was supplemented by legislation passed by the NSW Government to provide releases to the James Hardie Group and to current and former directors, officers, employees, agents and advisers of James Hardie Group members from all civil liabilities in connection with, among other matters, the establishment and funding (or underfunding) of the Foundation as described above, the corporate reorganisations of the James Hardie Group in 2001 and other matters examined by the SCI.

The full form of the statutory releases is set out in legislation passed by the NSW Parliament and contained in the *James Hardie (Civil Liability) Act 2005* and the *James Hardie (Civil Penalty Compensation Release) Act 2005*. The term "civil liabilities" is not defined in that legislation and therefore bears its ordinary meaning under Australian law. When introducing that legislation into the NSW Parliament, the Attorney General of New South Wales stated that the legislation was intended to extinguish liabilities for civil penalties for which a compensation order may be imposed under the Corporations Act 2001 (Cth), but it was not intended to release the released persons from any other kind of civil penalty orders that may be imposed (including any liabilities for fines, orders banning individuals from being directors, or court declaration that a contravention of a civil penalty provision has occurred). Australian courts may have regard to those statements in determining the scope of civil liabilities released under this legislation, where they consider that the natural and ordinary meaning of "civil liabilities" is ambiguous or obscure.

That legislation also released certain persons in relation to the entry by JHI NV and the Performing Subsidiary into the Heads of Agreement, the FFA and the Related Agreements and their implementation by the James Hardie Group, and the circumstances giving rise to the same. However, such releases did not affect the obligations of JHI NV and the Performing Subsidiary of their obligation set out in the FFA or Related Agreements.

The NSW Government has also undertaken to refrain from taking any action inconsistent with such releases and extinguishments. The releases and extinguishments contained in the legislation described above are permanent in relation to all released persons who are natural persons. In relation to companies and other non-natural persons who were released under that legislation, the releases and extinguishments may be suspended by the NSW Government if the Performing Subsidiary is and remains in breach of any obligation to make a funding payment under the FFA or of its obligations not to undertake certain prejudicial specified dealings, and the Performing Subsidiary or the Company has not remedied the breach within three months of the Company having received a notice under the FFA.

Actuarial Study; Claims Estimate

The Company commissioned an updated actuarial study of potential asbestos-related liabilities as of 31 March 2006. Based on the results of these studies, it is estimated that the discounted value of the central estimate for claims against the Former James Hardie companies was approximately A\$1.52 billion (US\$1.14 billion). The undiscounted value of the central estimate of the asbestos-related liabilities of Amaca and Amaba as determined by KPMG Actuaries was approximately A\$3.08 billion (US\$2.3 billion). Actual liabilities of those companies for such claims could vary, perhaps materially, from the central estimate described above. This central estimate is calculated in accordance with Australian Actuarial Standards, which differ from accounting principles generally accepted in the United States.

In estimating the potential financial exposure, the actuaries made assumptions related to the total number of claims which were reasonably estimated to be asserted through 2071, the typical cost of settlement (which is sensitive to, among other factors, the industry in which the plaintiff claims exposure, the alleged disease type and the jurisdiction in which the action is being brought), the legal costs incurred in the litigation of such claims, the rate of receipt of claims, the settlement strategy in dealing with outstanding claims and the timing of settlements.

Further, the actuaries have relied on the data and information provided by the Foundation and Amaca Claim Services, Amaca Pty Ltd (Under NSW External Administration) ("ACS") and assumed that it is accurate and complete in all material respects. The actuaries have not verified the information independently nor established the accuracy or completeness of the data and information provided or used for the preparation of the report.

Due to inherent uncertainties in the legal and medical environment, the number and timing of future claim notifications and settlements, the recoverability of claims against insurance contracts, and estimates of future trends in average claim awards, as well as the extent to which the above-named entities will contribute to the overall settlements, the actual amount of liability could differ materially from that which is currently projected.

A sensitivity analysis has been performed to determine how the actuarial estimates would change if certain assumptions (i.e., the rate of inflation and superimposed inflation, the average costs of claims and legal fees, and the projected numbers of claims) were different from the assumptions used to determine the central estimates. This analysis shows that the discounted central estimates could be in a range of A\$1.0 billion (US\$0.7 billion) to A\$2.5 billion (US\$1.8 billion) (undiscounted estimates of A\$1.8 billion (US\$1.4 billion) to A\$5.3 billion (US\$3.9 billion) as of 31 March 2006. It should be noted that the actual cost of the liabilities could be outside of that range depending on the results of actual experience relative to the assumptions made.

The potential range of costs as estimated by KPMG Actuaries is affected by a number of variables such as nil settlement rates (where no settlement is payable by the Former James Hardie Companies because the claim settlement is borne by other asbestos defendants (other than the Former James Hardie subsidiaries) which are held liable), peak year of claims, past history of claims numbers, average settlement rates, past history of Australian asbestos-related medical injuries, current number of claims, average defence and plaintiff legal costs, base wage inflation and superimposed inflation. The potential range of losses disclosed includes both asserted and unasserted claims. While no assurances can be provided, if the FFA is approved by all of the necessary parties, including the Company's Board of Directors, shareholders and lenders, the Company expects to be able to partially recover losses from various insurance carriers. As of 31 March 2006, KPMG Actuaries' undiscounted central estimate of asbestos-related liabilities was A\$3.1 billion (US\$2.2 billion). This undiscounted central estimate is net of expected insurance recoveries of A\$504.8 million (US\$379.9 million) after making a general credit risk allowance for bad debt insurance carriers and an allowance for A\$65.5 million (US\$49.3million) of "by claim" or subrogation recoveries from other third parties.

Currently, the timing of any potential payments is uncertain because the conditions precedent to the FFA have not been satisfied. In addition, the Company has not yet incurred any settlement costs pursuant to its offer to provide the Foundation with interim funding, which is described above under the heading "Interim Funding and ABN 60 Indemnity" because the Foundation continues to meet all claims of Amaca and Amaba.

Claims Data

The following table, provided by KPMG Actuaries, shows the number of claims pending as of 31 March 2006 and 2005:

	Years Ended 31 March				
	2006	2005			
Australia	556	712			
New Zealand	-	-			
Unknown - Court Not Identified ⁽¹⁾	20	36			
USA	1	1			

⁽¹⁾The "Unknown – Court Not Identified" designation reflects that the information for such claims had not been, as of the date of publication, entered into the database which the Foundation maintains. Over time, as the details of "unknown" claims are provided to the Foundation, the Company believes the database is updated to reflect where such claims originate. Accordingly, the Company understands the number of unknown claims pending fluctuates due to the resolution of claims as well as the reclassification of such claims. For the years ended 31 March 2006, 2005 and 2004 the following tables, provided by KPMG Actuaries, show the claims filed, the number of claims dismissed, settled or otherwise resolved for each period, and the average settlement amount per claim.

	Yea 2006	ch 2004			
Number of claims filed Number of claims dismissed Number of claims settled or otherwise resolved Average settlement amount per claim Average settlement amount per claim	346 97 405 A\$ 151,883 US\$ 114,322	489 62 402 A\$ 157,594 A US\$ 116,572 U			
	•	vn - Court Not Ide irs Ended 31 Mar 2005			
Number of claims filed Number of claims dismissed Number of claims settled or otherwise resolved Average settlement amount per claim Average settlement amount per claim	6 10 12 A\$ 198,892 US\$ 149,706				
	USA Years Ended 31 March 2006 2005 2004				
Number of claims filed Number of claims dismissed Number of claims settled or otherwise resolved Average settlement amount per claim Average settlement amount per claim	+	- 3 1 A\$ 228,293 A US\$ 168,868 U			

The following table, provided by KPMG Actuaries, shows the activity related to the numbers of open claims, new claims, and closed claims during each of the past five years and the average settlement per settled claim and case closed.

	As of 31 March									
		2006		2005		2004		2003		2002
Number of open claims at beginning of year		749		743		814		671		569
Number of new claims		352		496		380		409		375
Number of closed claims		524		490		451		266		273
Number of open claims at year-end		577		749		743		814		671
Average settlement amount per settled claim	A\$	153,236	A\$	157,223	A\$	167,450	A\$	201,200	A\$	197,941
Average settlement amount per case closed	A\$	121,945	A\$	129,949	A\$	117,327	A\$	177,752	A\$	125,435
Average settlement amount per settled claim Average settlement amount per case closed	US\$ US\$	- / -		,		116,127 81,366		112,974 99,808		,

The Company has not had any responsibility or involvement in the management of claims against ABN 60 since the time ABN 60 left the James Hardie Group in 2003. Since February 2001, when Amaca and Amaba were separated from the James Hardie Group, neither the Company nor any current subsidiary of the Company has had any responsibility or involvement in the management of claims against those entities. Prior to that date, the principal entity potentially involved in relation to such claims was ABN 60, which has not been a member of the James Hardie Group since March 2003. However, the FFA and associated New South Wales legislation contemplates that the SPF will have both the responsibility for and arrangement of claims against the Former James Hardie Companies, and that the Company will have the right to appoint a majority of the directors of the SPF unless a special default or insolvency event arises, as explained further above.

On 26 October 2004, the Company, the Foundation and KPMG Actuaries entered into an agreement under which the Company would be entitled to obtain a copy of the actuarial report prepared by KPMG Actuaries in relation to the claims liabilities of the Foundation and Amaba and Amaca, and would be entitled to publicly release the final version of such reports. Under the terms of the FFA, but subject to it being implemented, the Company has obtained similar rights of access to actuarial information produced for the SPF by the actuary to be appointed by the SPF (the "Approved Actuary"). The Company's future disclosures with respect to claims statistics is subject to it obtaining such information from the Approved Actuary. The Company has had no general right (and has not obtained any right under the FFA) to audit or otherwise require independent verification of such information or the methodologies to be adopted by the Approved Actuary. As a result, the Company cannot make any representations or warranties as to the accuracy or completeness of the actuarial information disclosed herein or that may be disclosed in the future.

SCI and Other Related Expenses

The Company has incurred substantial costs associated with the SCI and may incur material costs in the future related to the SCI or subsequent legal proceedings. The following are the components of SCI and other related expenses:

		March		
(Millions of US dollars)	2006			2005
SCI	\$	-	\$	6.8
Internal investigation		-		4.9
ASIC investigation		0.8		1.2
Severance and consulting		0.1		6.0
Resolution advisory fees		9.8		6.4
Funding advice		2.9		0.6
Other		3.8		2.2
Total SCI and other related expenses	\$	17.4	\$	28.1

Internal investigation costs reflect costs incurred by the Company in connection with an internal investigation conducted by independent legal advisors to investigate allegations raised during the SCI and the preparation and filing of the Company's annual financial statements in the United States.

ASIC

ASIC has announced that it is conducting an investigation into the events examined by the SCI, without limiting itself to the evidence compiled by the SCI. ASIC has served notices to produce relevant documents upon the Company and various directors and officers of the Company and upon certain of the Company's advisers and auditors at the time of the separation and restructure transactions described above. ASIC has also served notices requiring the Company and ABN 60 to produce certain computerised information and requiring certain current and former directors and officers of ABN 60 or the Company to present themselves for examination by ASIC delegates. So far, as the Company is aware, the individuals who have been required to attend such examinations have done so. To date, ASIC has announced that it is investigating various matters, but it has not specified the particulars of alleged contraventions under investigation, nor has it announced that it has reached any conclusion that any person or entity has contravened any relevant law.

To assist ASIC's investigation, the Australian Federal Government enacted legislation to abrogate the legal professional privilege which would otherwise have attached to certain documents relevant to matters under investigation or to any future civil proceedings to be taken. The legislation is set out in the *James Hardie (Investigations and Proceedings) Act 2004.*

The Company may incur liability to meet the costs of current or former directors, officers or employees of the James Hardie Group to the extent that those costs are covered by indemnity arrangements granted by the Company to those persons. To date, no claims have been received from any current or former officers in relation to the ASIC investigation, except in relation to the examination by a former director of ABN 60 by ASIC delegates, the amount of which cannot be assessed at present. In relation to this claim and any others that may arise, the Company may be reimbursed in whole or in part under directors' and officers' insurance policies maintained by the Company.

Financial Position of the Foundation

On the basis of the current cash and financial position of the Foundation's subsidiaries (Amaca and Amaba) and following the Company's entry into the Heads of Agreement, the applications previously made to the Supreme Court of NSW by the Foundation for the appointment of a provisional liquidator to the Foundation's subsidiaries were dismissed with the Foundations consent. Such applications have now been rendered unnecessary by the passage of the civil liability release legislation described above.

The potential for Amaba, Amaca or ABN 60 to be placed into insolvency has been further reduced by legislation passed in NSW (the *James Hardie Former Subsidiaries (Winding Up and Administration) Act 200*5), parts of which came into force on 2 December 2005 and which will, when fully effective, replace the *James Hardie Former Subsidiaries (Special Provisions)* Act 2005. That legislation maintains the *status quo* of Amaca, Amaba and ABN 60, including by providing for a statutory form of administration for those entities so as to prevent them being placed into administration or liquidation under the provisions of the Australian Corporations Act which would usually apply to an insolvent Australian company. The legislation also sought to ensure that the directors of those entities would not seek to remove the assets or the register of shares in those entities outside New South Wales.

The Company believes it is possible that future costs related to the Company's implementation of the FFA may be material. The Company does not expect any material additional costs to be incurred in connection with the SCI.

Environmental and Legal

The operations of the Company, like those of other companies engaged in similar businesses, are subject to a number of federal, state and local laws and regulations on air and water quality, waste handling and disposal. The Company's policy is to accrue for environmental costs when it is determined that it is probable that an obligation exists and the amount can be reasonably estimated. In the opinion of management, based on information presently known except as set forth above, the ultimate liability for such matters should not have a material adverse effect on either the Company's consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

The Company is involved from time to time in various legal proceedings and administrative actions incidental or related to the normal conduct of its business. Although it is impossible to predict the outcome of any pending legal proceeding, management believes that such proceedings and actions should not, except as it relates to asbestos as described above, individually or in the aggregate, have a material adverse effect on either its consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Operating Leases

As the lessee, the Company principally enters into property, building and equipment leases. The following are future minimum lease payments for non-cancellable operating leases having a remaining term in excess of one year at 31 March 2006:

Years ended 31 March:	(Millions of US dollars			
2007	\$	15.8		
2008		14.0		
2009		12.3		
2010		11.1		
2011		10.9		
Thereafter		78.7		
Total	\$	142.8		

Rental expense amounted to US\$12.5 million, US\$9.1 million and US\$8.1 million for the years ended 31 March 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

Capital Commitments

Commitments for the acquisition of plant and equipment and other purchase obligations, primarily in the United States, contracted for but not recognised as liabilities and generally payable within one year, were US\$22.2 million at 31 March 2006.

13. Income Taxes

Income tax expense includes income taxes currently payable and those deferred because of temporary differences between the financial statement and tax bases of assets and liabilities. Income tax expense for continuing operations consists of the following components:

		Ye	rch	ch	
(Millions of US dollars)	2006		2005		2004
Income from continuing operations before income taxes:					
Domestic ¹	\$	113.7	\$ 90.5	\$	103.5
Foreign		(548.8)	99.3		62.2
(Loss) income from continuing operations before income taxes:	\$	(435.1)	\$ 189.8	\$	165.7
Income tax expense:					
Current:					
Domestic ¹		(9.0)	(14.1)		(6.7)
Foreign		(91.5)	 (37.1)		(20.4)
Current income tax expense		(100.5)	(51.2)		(27.1)
Deferred:					
Domestic ¹		(0.3)	5.0		(3.9)
Foreign		29.2	 (15.7)		(9.4)
Deferred income tax expense		28.9	 (10.7)		(13.3)
Total income tax expense for continuing operations	\$	(71.6)	\$ (61.9)	\$	(40.4)

¹Since JHI NV is the Dutch parent holding company, domestic represents The Netherlands.

Income tax expense computed at the statutory rates represents taxes on income applicable to all jurisdictions in which the Company conducts business, calculated as the statutory income tax rate in each jurisdiction multiplied by the pre-tax income attributable to that jurisdiction. Income tax expense from continuing operations is reconciled to the tax at the statutory rates as follows:

		arch				
(Millions of US dollars)		2006		2005		2004
Income tax expense computed at statutory tax rates	\$	121.0	\$	(65.3)	\$	(60.7)
US state income taxes, net of the federal benefit	Ŧ	(7.1)	•	(5.3)	Ŧ	(0.2)
Asbestos provision		(214.7)		-		-
Benefit from Dutch financial risk reserve regime		12.7		18.1		24.8
Expenses not deductible		(3.4)		(2.3)		(2.5)
Non-assessable items		1.4		-		1.3
Losses not available for carryforward		(2.6)		(2.4)		-
Change in reserves		20.7		(3.7)		(3.9)
Other items		0.4		(1.0)		0.8
Total income tax expense	\$	(71.6)	\$	(61.9)	\$	(40.4)
Effective tax rate		16.5%		32.6%		24.4%

Deferred tax balances consist of the following components:

		March		
(Millions of US dollars)		2006		2005
Deferred tax assets:				
Provisions and accruals	\$	33.2	\$	29.0
Net operating loss carryforwards		8.9		12.8
Capital loss carryforwards		31.2		33.7
Taxes on intellectual property transfer		8.3		10.0
Total deferred tax assets		81.6		85.5
Valuation allowance		(35.2)		(38.1)
Total deferred tax assets net of valuation				
allowance		46.4		47.4
Deferred tax liabilities:				
Property, plant and equipment		(91.7)		(86.9)
Prepaid pension cost		(1.8)		(2.5)
Total deferred tax liabilities		(93.5)		(89.4)
Foreign currency movements		2.8		2.8
Net deferred tax liabilities	\$	(44.3)	\$	(39.2)

Under SFAS No. 109, "Accounting for Income Taxes," the Company establishes a valuation allowance against a deferred tax asset if it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax asset will not be realised. The Company has established a valuation allowance pertaining to a portion of its Australian net operating loss carryforwards and all of its Australian capital loss carryforwards. The valuation allowance decreased by US\$2.9 million during fiscal year 2006 primarily due to foreign currency movements.

At 31 March 2006, the Company had Australian tax loss carryforwards of approximately US\$23.7 million that will never expire. At 31 March 2006, the Company had a US\$13.8 million valuation allowance against the Australian tax loss carryforwards.

At 31 March 2006, the Company had US\$103.9 million in Australian capital loss carryforwards which will never expire. At 31 March 2006, the Company had a 100% valuation allowance against the Australian capital loss carryforwards.

At 31 March 2006, the undistributed earnings of non-Dutch subsidiaries approximated US\$475.6 million. The Company intends to indefinitely reinvest these earnings, and accordingly, has not provided for taxes that would be payable upon remittance of those earnings. The amount of the potential deferred tax liability related to undistributed earnings is impracticable to determine at this time.

Due to the size of the Company and the nature of its business, the Company is subject to ongoing reviews by taxing jurisdictions on various tax matters, including challenges to various positions the Company asserts on its income tax returns. The Company accrues for tax contingencies based upon its best estimate of the taxes ultimately expected to be paid, which it updates over time as more information becomes available. Such amounts are included in taxes payable or other non-current liabilities, as appropriate. If the Company ultimately determines that payment of these amounts is unnecessary, the Company reverses the liability and recognises a tax benefit during the period in which the Company determines that the liability is no longer necessary. The Company records an additional charge in the period in which it determines that the recorded tax liability is less than it expects the ultimate assessment to be.

In fiscal year 2006, the Company finalised certain tax audits and paid all additional amounts due for the applicable fiscal years and recorded a US\$20.7 million tax benefit to reduce amounts accrued in excess of all amounts paid.

In fiscal year 2005, the Company settled certain tax audits and filed amended income tax returns and paid additional tax for the applicable fiscal years. The Company recorded a US\$2.5 million tax benefit to reduce amounts accrued in excess of all amounts paid.

Relevant tax authorities from various jurisdictions in which the Company operates are in the process of auditing the Company's respective jurisdictional income tax returns for various ranges of years. Of the audits currently being conducted none have progressed sufficiently to predict their ultimate outcome. The Company accrues income tax liabilities for these audits based upon knowledge of all relevant facts and circumstances, taking into account existing tax laws, its experience with previous audits and settlements, the status of current tax examination and how the tax authorities view certain issues.

The Company currently derives significant tax benefits under the US-Netherlands tax treaty. The treaty was amended during fiscal year 2005 and became effective for the Company on 1 February 2006. The amended treaty provides, among other things, new requirements that the Company must meet for the Company to continue to qualify for treaty benefits and its effective income tax rate. During fiscal year 2006, the Company made changes to its organisational and operational structure to satisfy the requirements of the amended treaty and believes that it is now in compliance and should continue qualifying for treaty benefits. However, if during a subsequent tax audit or related process the Internal Revenue Service ("IRS") determines that these changes do not meet the new requirements, the Company may not qualify for treaty benefits; its effective income tax rate could significantly increase beginning in the fiscal year that such determination is made; and it could be liable for taxes owed from the effective date of the amended treaty provisions.

In March 2006, RCI Pty Ltd (RCI) a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company received an amended assessment from the Australian Taxation Office ("ATO") in respect of RCI's income tax return for the year ended 31 March 1999. The amended assessment relates to the amount of net capital gains arising as a result of an internal corporate restructure carried out in 1998 and has been issued pursuant to the discretion granted to the Commissioner of Taxation under Part IVA of the Income Tax Assessment Act 1936. The original amended assessment issued to RCI was for a total of A\$412.0 million. However, after a subsequent remission of general interest charges by the ATO the total is now A\$378.0 million, comprised of the following:

(Millions of dollars)	US\$	A\$
Primary tax after allowable credits	\$ 129.5	A\$ 172.0
Penalties ⁽¹⁾	32.4	43.0
General interest charges	122.7	163.0
Total amended assessment	\$ 284.6	A\$ 378.0

⁽¹⁾ Represents 25% of primary tax

In late 2005 the Tax Laws Amendment (Improvements to Self Assessment Act (No 2)) 2005 of Australia (the ROSA Act) went into effect. Prior to the ROSA Act becoming law, the ATO had the power to amend earlier tax assessments to give effect to a determination under the general anti avoidance provisions of the tax legislation, Part IVA, within six years after the date on which tax became due and payable under the earlier assessment. The ROSA Act changed this period from six to four years. Unlike the other changes made by the ROSA Act to the ATO's powers to amend earlier assessments (which apply only to the 2005 and later tax years), the changes to Part IVA

operated immediately from royal assent on 15 December 2005. The amended assessment was issued to RCI to give effect to a Part IVA determination after the ROSA Act became law, but was issued after the four year period had expired (although just before the old six year period had expired).

The ATO has acknowledged in writing to the Company that this was an issue and deferred the time for payment of tax to 30 June 2006 because of the uncertainty. The Government announced on 9 May 2006 that there had been a drafting error and that a law would be presented to Parliament to ensure retrospectively that the relevant Part IVA changes would only take effect from the 2005 and later tax years. The Company has not seen any draft law.

Even though the ATO did not appear to have the power to make and issue the amended assessment because it was out of time (subject to retrospective correcting legislation being passed), there remains an issue as to whether the amended is valid until successfully challenged in Court, or whether it is invalid and a nullity.

However, if the validity of the amended assessment is confirmed, there is a range of possible payment outcomes in accordance with the ATO Receivable Policy. These will be subject to negotiation with the ATO and include RCI paying the entire assessment on 30 June 2006 or entering into an arrangement with the ATO to pay at least 50% of the primary tax on 30 June 2006.

The Company believes that RCI's tax position will ultimately prevail in this matter. Accordingly, it is expected that any amounts paid on 30 June 2006 (or any later time) would be recovered by RCI (with interest) at the time RCI is successful in its appeal against the amended assessment.

RCI strongly disputes the amended assessment and is pursuing all avenues of objection and appeal to contest the ATO's position in this matter. The ATO has confirmed that RCI has a reasonably arguable position that the amount of net capital gains arising as a result of the corporate restructure carried out in 1998 has been reported correctly in fiscal year 1999 tax return and that Part IVA does not apply. As a result, the ATO reduced the amount of penalty from an automatic 50% of primary tax that would otherwise apply in these circumstances, to 25% of primary tax. In Australia, a reasonably arguable position means that the tax position is about as likely to be correct as it is not correct. The Company and RCI received legal and tax advice at the time of the transaction, during the ATO enquiries and following receipt of the amended assessment. The Company believes that the tax position reported in RCI's tax return for the 1999 year will be upheld on appeal. Accordingly, at this time, the Company is unable to determine with any certainty whether any amount will ultimately become payable by RCI or, if any amount is ultimately payable, the amount of any such payment. Therefore, the Company believes that the probable and estimable requirements under SFAS No. 5, "Accounting for Contingencies," for recording a liability have not been met and therefore has not recorded any liability at 31 March 2006 for the amended assessment.

14. Discontinued Operations

Building Systems

On 30 May 2003, the Company sold its New Zealand Building Systems business to a third party. A gain of US\$1.9 million represented the excess of net proceeds from the sale of US\$6.7 million over the net book value of assets sold of US\$4.8 million. The proceeds from the sale were comprised of cash of US\$5.0 million and a note receivable in the amount of US\$1.7 million. As of 31March 2005, the US\$1.7 million note receivable had been collected in full.

James Hardie Industries N.V. and Subsidiaries Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

ABN 60

Following the establishment of the ABN 60 Foundation, the Company no longer owns any shares of ABN 60. ABN 60 Foundation is managed by independent directors and operates entirely independently of the Company. Since that date, the Company has not and currently does not control the activities of ABN 60 or ABN 60 Foundation in any way. The Company has no economic interest, other than described in Note 12, in ABN 60 or ABN 60 Foundation. Apart from the express indemnity for non-asbestos matters provided to ABN 60 and a possible arrangement to fund some or all future claimants for asbestos-related injuries caused by former James Hardie subsidiary companies and to the potential liabilities more fully described in Note 12, the Company does not believe it will have any liability under current Australian law should future liabilities of ABN 60 or ABN 60 or ABN 60 or 31 March 2003, a loss on disposal of US\$0.4 million was recorded by James Hardie at 31 March 2003, representing the liabilities of ABN 60 (to the Foundation) of A\$94.6 million (US\$57.2 million), the A\$94.5 million (US\$57.1 million) in cash held on the balance sheet, and costs associated with the establishment and funding of ABN 60 Foundation.

JHI NV has agreed to indemnify ABN 60 Foundation for any non asbestos-related legal claims made on ABN 60. There is no maximum amount of the indemnity and the term of the indemnity is in perpetuity. James Hardie believes that the likelihood of any material non asbestos-related claims occurring is remote. As such, the Company has not recorded a liability for the indemnity. The Company has not pledged any assets as collateral for such indemnity.

Amaca, Amaba and ABN 60 have all agreed to indemnify JHI NV and its related corporate entities for past and future asbestos-related liabilities as part of the establishment of the respective foundations. Amaca, Amaba and ABN 60's obligation to indemnify JHI NV and its related entities includes claims that may arise associated with the manufacturing activities of those companies.

Disposal of Chile Business

In June 2005, the Company approved a plan to dispose of its Chile Fibre Cement business to Compania Industrial El Volcan S.A. (Volcan). The sale closed on 8 July 2005. The Company received net proceeds of US\$3.9 million and recorded a loss on disposal of US\$0.8 million. This loss on disposal is included in other operating income (expense) in the Company's consolidated financial statements.

As part of the terms of the sale of the Chile Fibre Cement business to Volcan, the Company entered into a two-year take or pay purchase contract for fibre cement product manufactured by Volcan. The first year of the contract amounts to a purchase commitment of approximately US\$2.8 million and the second year amounts to a purchase commitment of approximately US\$2.1 million. As this contract qualifies as continuing involvement per SFAS No. 144, "Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long Lived Assets," the operating results and loss on disposal of the Chile Fibre Cement business are included in the Company's income from continuing operations and are comprised of the following components:

		31 N	larch	
(Millions of US dollars)	2	2006		2005
Chile Fibre Cement				
Net sales	\$	5.1	\$	13.3
Cost of goods sold		(3.5)		(10.1)
Gross profit		1.6		3.2
Selling, general and administrative expenses		(1.2)		(2.0)
Loss on disposal of business		(0.8)		-
Operating (loss) income		(0.4)		1.2
Interest expense		(0.2)		(0.4)
Net (loss) income	\$	(0.6)	\$	0.8

The following are the results of operations of discontinued businesses:

		Years Ended 31 March				
(Millions of US dollars)	2006			2005		2004
Building Systems						
Net sales	\$	-	\$	-	\$	2.9
Income before income tax expense		-		-		0.3
Income tax expense		-		-		(0.1)
Net income		-		-		0.2
Building Services						
Net sales		-		-		-
Loss before income tax benefit		-		(0.5)		-
Income tax benefit		-		0.2		-
Net loss		-		(0.3)		-
Total						
Net sales		-		-		2.9
(Loss) income before income tax benefit (expense)		-		(0.5)		0.3
Income tax benefit (expense)		-		0.2		(0.1)
Net (loss) income		-		(0.3)		0.2
(Loss) gain on disposal, net of income taxes		-		(0.7)		4.1
(Loss) income from discontinued operations	\$	-	\$	(1.0)	\$	4.3

15. Stock-Based Compensation

At 31 March 2006, the Company had the following stock-based compensation plans: the Executive Share Purchase Plan; the 2001 Equity Incentive Plan; one Stock Appreciation Rights Plan; the Supervisory Board Share Plan and the Managing Board Transitional Stock Option Plan. As of 31 March 2006, the Company has no units outstanding under the following stock based compensation plans: Peter Donald Macdonald Share Option Plan; Peter Donald Macdonald Share Option Plan 2002; and Key Management Shadow Stock Incentive Plan.

The Company accounts for stock options using the fair value provisions of SFAS No. 123, which requires the Company to value stock options issued based upon an option pricing model and recognise this value as compensation expense over the periods in which the options vest.

The Company estimates the fair value of each option grant on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model. In the table below are the weighted average assumptions and weighted average fair values used for grants in fiscal year 2006, 2005 and 2004:

	Years Ended 31 March						
	20	006		2005		2004	
Dividend yield		1.2%		1.1%		1.0%	
Expected volatility		27.4%		29.1%		26.0%	
Risk free interest rate		4.8%		3.2%		2.7%	
Expected life in years		3.3		3.3		3.3	
Weighted average fair value at grant date	A\$	1.35	A\$	1.35	A\$	1.42	

Compensation expense arising from stock option grants as determined using the Black-Scholes model was US\$5.9 million, US\$3.0 million and US\$3.2 million for the fiscal years ended 31 March 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

Executive Share Purchase Plan

Prior to July 1998, JHIL issued stock under an Executive Share Purchase Plan (the "Plan"). Under the terms of the Plan, eligible executives purchased JHIL shares at their market price when issued. Executives funded purchases of JHIL shares with non-recourse, interest-free loans provided by JHIL and collateralised by the shares. In such cases, the amount of indebtedness is reduced by any amounts payable by JHIL in respect of such shares, including dividends and capital returns. These loans are generally payable within two years after termination of an executive's employment. As part of the 2001 Reorganisation, the identical terms of the agreement have been carried over to JHI NV. Variable plan accounting under the provisions of Accounting Principles Board ("APB") Opinion No. 25 has been applied to the Executive Share Purchase Plan shares granted prior to 1 April 1995 and fair value accounting, pursuant to the requirements of SFAS No. 123, has been applied to shares granted after 31 March 1995. Accordingly, the Company recorded variable compensation expense of nil, nil and US\$0.1 million for the years ended 31 March 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively. No shares were issued to executives during fiscal year 2006, 2005 and 2004.

Managing Board Transitional Stock Option Plan

The Managing Board Transitional Stock Option Plan provides an incentive to the members of the Managing Board. The maximum number of ordinary shares that may be issued and outstanding or subject to outstanding options under this plan shall not exceed 1,380,000 shares. At 31 March 2006, there were 1,320,000 options outstanding under this plan.

The Company granted options to purchase 1,320,000 shares of the Company's common stock at an exercise price per share equal to A\$8.53 under the Managing Board Transitional Stock Option plan on 22 November 2005 to the Managing Directors. As set out in the plan rules, the exercise price and

the number of shares available on exercise may be adjusted on the occurrence of certain events, including new issues, share splits, right issues and capital reconstructions. 50% of these options become exercisable on the first business day on or after 22 November 2008, if the total shareholder returns ("TSR") (essentially its dividend yield and common stock performance) from 22 November 2005 to that date was at least equal to the median TSR for the companies comprising the Company's peer group, as set out in the plan. In addition, for each 1% increment that the Company's TSR is above the median TSR an additional 2% of the options become exercisable. If any options remain unvested on the last business day of each six month period following 22 November 2008 and 22 November 2010, the Company will reapply the vesting criteria to those options on that business day.

2001 Equity Incentive Plan

On 19 October 2001 (the grant date), JHI NV granted a total of 5,468,829 stock options under the JHI NV 2001 Equity Incentive Plan (the "2001 Equity Incentive Plan") to key US executives in exchange for their previously granted Key Management Equity Incentive Plan ("KMEIP") shadow shares that were originally granted in November 2000 and 1999 by JHIL. These options may be exercised in five equal tranches (20% each year) starting with the first anniversary of the original shadow share grant.

As set out in the plan rules, the exercise prices and the number of shares available on exercise may be adjusted on the occurrence of certain events, including new issues, share splits, rights issues and capital reconstructions. Consequently, the exercise price was reduced by A\$0.21, A\$0.38 and A\$0.10 for the November 2003, November 2002 and December 2001 returns of capital, respectively.

Under the 2001 Equity Incentive Plan, additional grants have been made at fair market value to management and other employees of the Company as follows:

Share Grant Date	Original Exercise Price		Number of Options Granted	Option Expiration Date
December 2001	A\$	5.65	4,248,417	December 2011
December 2002	A\$	6.66	4,037,000	December 2012
December 2003	A\$	7.05	6,179,583	December 2013
December 2004	A\$	5.99	5,391,100	December 2014
February 2005	A\$	6.30	273,000	February 2015
December 2005	A\$	8.90	5,224,100	December 2016
March 2006	A\$	9.50	40,200	March 2016

Each option confers the right to subscribe for one ordinary share in the capital of JHI NV. The options may be exercised as follows: 25% after the first year; 25% after the second year; and 50% after the third year. All unexercised options expire 10 years from the date of issue or 90 days after the employee ceases to be employed by the Company. Also, as set out in the plan rules, the exercise prices and the number of shares available on exercise may be adjusted on the occurrence of certain events, including new issues, share splits, rights issues and capital reconstructions.

Consequently, the exercise price on the December 2002 and December 2001 option grants were reduced by A\$0.21 for the November 2003 return of capital and the December 2001 option grant was reduced by A\$0.38 for the November 2002 return of capital.

The Company is authorised to issue 45,077,100 shares under the 2001 Equity Incentive Plan. The following table summarises the shares available for grant under this plan:

	Yea	Years Ended 31 March							
Shares Available for Grant	2006	2005	2004						
Shares available at 1 April	24,340,258	27,293,210	32,884,940						
Awards granted	(5,264,300)	(5,664,100)	(6,179,583)						
Options forfeited	700,275	2,711,148	587,853						
Shares available at 31 March	19,776,233	24,340,258	27,293,210						

The following table shows the movement in all of the Company's outstanding options:

(In Australian dollars)		2006		2005		20	004
		Weighte	d	Weighted		Wei	ghted
		Average	9	Average		Ave	erage
	Number	Exercis	e Number	Exercise	Number	Exe	rcise
	of Shares	Price	of Shares	Price	of Shares	Pi	rice
Outstanding at 1 April	20,128,610	A\$ 5.7	5 17,978,707	A\$ 5.72	13,410,024	A\$	5.20
Granted	6,584,300	8.8	3 5,664,100	6.00	6,179,583		7.05
Exercised	(3,925,378)	4.7	9 (803,049) 4.13	(1,023,047)	4.38
Forfeited	(3,274,275)	5.6	8 (2,711,148	6.56	(587,853)	5.79
Outstanding at 31 March	19,513,257	A\$ 6.9	9 20,128,610	A\$ 5.75	17,978,707	A\$	5.72
Options exercisable 31 March	7,234,897	A\$ 5.8	2 7,155,625	A\$ 5.08	3,858,736	A\$	4.54

(In Au	stralian d	ollars)	Options Out	stan	ding	Options Exerci	sable	
			Weighted Average					
		Number	Remaining	W	eighted	Number	We	ighted
		Outstanding	Contractual	A	verage	Exercisable	Av	erage
Range	e of	at	Life (in	E>	kercise	at	Exe	ercise
Exerc	ise Price	31 March 2006	Years)	I	Price	31 March 2006	Р	rice
A\$	3.09	773,750	4.6	A\$	3.09	773,750	A\$	3.09
	3.13	257,113	3.6		3.13	257,113		3.13
	5.06	1,270,724	5.7		5.06	1,270,724		5.06
	5.99	4,464,850	8.7		5.99	967,900		5.99
	6.30	273,000	8.9		6.30	68,250		6.30
	6.45	2,064,800	6.7		6.45	2,064,800		6.45
	7.05	3,857,720	7.7		7.05	1,832,360		7.05
	8.53	1,320,000	9.7		8.53	-		-
	8.90	5,191,100	9.7		8.90	-		-
	9.50	40,200	9.9		9.50	-		-
A\$3.09 t	to A\$9.50	19,513,257	8.2	A\$	6.99	7,234,897	A\$	5.82

Supervisory Board Share Plan

At the 2002 Annual General Meeting, the shareholders approved a Supervisory Board Share Plan ("SBSP"), which requires that all non-executive directors on the Joint Board and Supervisory Board receive shares of the Company's common stock as payment for a portion of their director fees. The SBSP requires that the directors to take at least \$10,000 of their fees in shares and allows directors to receive additional shares is lieu of fees in their discretion. Shares issued under the \$10,000 compulsory component of the SBSP are subject to a two-year escrow that requires members of the Supervisory Board to retain those shares for at least two years following issue. The issue price for the shares is the market value at the time of issue. No loans will be entered into by the Company relation to the grant of shares pursuant to the SBSP.

Peter Donald Macdonald Share Option Plans

Peter Donald Macdonald Share Option Plan

As a replacement for options previously granted by JHIL on 17 November 1999, Mr Macdonald was granted an option to purchase 1,200,000 shares of the Company's common stock at an exercise price of A\$3.87 per share under the JHI NV Peter Donald Macdonald Share Option Plan. As with the original JHIL option grant, this stock option became fully vested and exercisable on 17 November 2004. The options had an expiration date of 20 April 2005, six months after the date of Mr Macdonald's resignation. The exercise price and the number of shares available on exercise could be adjusted on the occurrence of certain events, including new issues, share splits, rights issues and capital reconstructions, as set out in the plan rules. Consequently, the exercise price was reduced by A\$0.21, A\$0.38 and A\$0.10 for the November 2003, November 2002 and December 2001 returns of capital, respectively. Mr Macdonald exercised all of these options in April 2005.

Peter Donald Macdonald Share Option Plan 2001

As a replacement for options previously granted by JHIL on 12 July 2001, Mr Macdonald was granted an option to purchase 624.000 shares of the Company's common stock at an exercise price per share equal to A\$5.45 under the JHI NV Peter Donald Macdonald Share Option Plan 2001. The replacement options were to become exercisable for 468,000 shares on the first business day on or after 12 July 2004, if JHI NV's TSR (essentially its dividend yield and common stock performance) from 12 July 2001 to that date was at least equal to the median TSR for the companies comprising JHI NV's peer group, as set out in the plan. In addition, the replacement options were to become exercisable on that same day for an additional 6.240 shares for each one-percent improvement in JHI NV's TSR ranking above the median total shareholder returns for its peer group (up to a total of 156,000 additional shares). On the first business day of each month from November 2004 until the options expired on 20 April 2005, six months after the date of Mr Macdonald's resignation, JHI NV's total shareholder returns were compared with that of its peer group to determine if any previously unvested options vest according to the applicable test described above. As set out in the plan rules, the exercise price and the number of shares available on exercise could be adjusted on the occurrence of certain events, including new issues, share splits, rights issues and capital reconstructions. Consequently, the exercise price was reduced by A\$0.21, A\$0.38 and A\$0.10 for the November 2003, November 2002 and December 2001 returns of capital, respectively. As the TSR requirement had not been met six months after Mr Macdonald ceased to be employed by JHI NV, all of these options expired in April 2005.

Peter Donald Macdonald Share Option Plan 2002

On 19 July 2002, under the JHI NV Peter Donald Macdonald 2002 Share Option Plan, Mr Macdonald was granted an option to purchase 1,950,000 shares of the Company's common stock at an exercise price of A\$6.30 per share. These options were to become exercisable for 1,462,500 shares of JHI NV's common stock on the first business day on or after 19 July 2005, if JHI NV's TSR from 19 July 2002 to that date was at least equal to the median TSR for the companies comprising its peer group, which comprises those companies included in the S&P/ASX 200 index excluding the companies

James Hardie Industries N.V. and Subsidiaries Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

listed in the 200 Financials and 200 Property Trust indices. Additionally, for each one-percent improvement in JHI NV's TSR ranking above the median TSR for its peer group 19,500 shares were to become exercisable (up to a total of 487,500 additional shares). If any options remained unexercisable on that date because the applicable test for TSR was not satisfied, then on the first business day of each month occurring from that day until 31 October 2005, JHI NV's TSR would again be compared with that of its peer group to determine if any previously unvested options vested according to the applicable test described above. Any vested options would have remained exercisable until the tenth anniversary of the issue date, 19 July 2012. As set out in the plan rules, the exercise price and the number of shares available on exercise could be adjusted on the occurrence of certain events, including new issues, share splits, rights issues and capital reconstructions. Consequently, the exercise price was reduced by A\$0.21 and A\$0.38 for the November 2003 and November 2002 returns of capital, respectively. All 1,950,000 options expired on 31 October 2005.

Key Management Shadow Stock Incentive Plan

On 5 December 2003, 12,600 shadow stock shares were granted under the terms and conditions of the Key Management Shadow Stock Incentive Plan. At 31 March 2005, 12,600 shadow stock shares were outstanding. All of these shadow stock shares were cancelled in April 2005.

Stock Appreciation Rights Plan

On 14 December 2004, 527,000 stock appreciation rights were granted under the terms and conditions of the JHI NV Stock Appreciation Rights Incentive Plan. This plan provides similar incentives as the 2001 Equity Incentive Plan. 27,000 of these stock appreciation rights were cancelled in April 2005. The remaining 500,000 stock appreciation rights were outstanding at 31 March 2006 and will vest 50% December 2006 and 50% December 2007. These rights have been accounted for as stock appreciation rights under SFAS No. 123 and, accordingly, compensation expense of US\$0.5 million, nil and US\$2.6 million was recognized in fiscal year 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

16. Financial Instruments

Foreign Currency

As a multinational corporation, the Company maintains significant operations in foreign countries. As a result of these activities, the Company is exposed to changes in exchange rates which affect its results of operations and cash flows. At 31 March 2006 and 2005, the Company had not entered into any material contracts to hedge these exposures.

The Company purchases raw materials and fixed assets and sells some finished product for amounts denominated in currencies other than the functional currency of the business in which the related transaction is generated. In order to protect against foreign exchange rate movements, the Company may enter into forward exchange contracts timed to mature when settlement of the underlying transaction is due to occur. At 31 March 2006 and 2005, there were no material contracts outstanding.

Credit Risk

Financial instruments which potentially subject the Company to credit risk consist primarily of cash and cash equivalents, investments and trade accounts receivable.

The Company maintains cash and cash equivalents, investments and certain other financial instruments with various major financial institutions. At times, these financial instruments may be in excess of federally insured limits. To minimise this risk, the Company performs periodic evaluations of the relative credit standing of these financial institutions and, where appropriate, places limits on the amount of credit exposure with any one institution.

James Hardie Industries N.V. and Subsidiaries Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

For off-balance sheet financial instruments, including derivatives, credit risk also arises from the potential failure of counterparties to meet their obligations under the respective contracts at maturity. The Company controls risk through the use of credit ratings and reviews of appropriately assessed authority limits.

The Company is exposed to losses on forward exchange contracts in the event that counterparties fail to deliver the contracted amount. The credit exposure to the Company is calculated as the mark-to-market value of all contracts outstanding with that counterparty. At 31 March 2006 and 2005, total credit exposure arising from forward exchange contracts was not material.

Credit risk with respect to trade accounts receivable is concentrated due to the concentration of the distribution channels for the Company's fibre cement products. Credit is extended based on an evaluation of each customer's financial condition and, generally, collateral is not required. The Company has historically not incurred significant credit losses.

Fair Values

The carrying values of cash and cash equivalents, marketable securities, accounts receivable, shortterm borrowings and accounts payable and accrued liabilities are a reasonable estimate of their fair value due to the short-term nature of these instruments. The following table summarises the estimated fair value of the Company's long-term debt (including current portion of long-term debt):

	31 March							
		20	006			20)05	
	Ca	arrying		Fair	С	arrying		Fair
(Millions of US dollars)		Value	1	Value		Value		Value
Long-term debt: Floating Fixed	\$	- 121.7	\$	- 133.8	\$	- 147.4	\$	- 173.6
Total	\$	121.7	\$	133.8	\$	147.4	\$	173.6

Fair values of long-term debt were determined by reference to the 31 March 2006 and 2005 market values for comparably rated debt instruments.

17. Operating Segment Information and Concentrations of Risk

The Company has reported its operating segment information in the format that the operating segment information is available to and evaluated by the Board of Directors. USA Fibre Cement manufactures and sells fibre cement interior linings, exterior siding and related accessories products in the United States. Asia Pacific Fibre Cement includes all fibre cement manufactured in Australia, New Zealand and the Philippines and sold in Australia, New Zealand and Asia. Research and Development represents the cost incurred by the research and development centres. Other includes the manufacture and sale of fibre cement reinforced pipes in the United States, fibre cement operations in Europe and roofing operations in the United States. The roofing plant was closed and the business ceased operations in April 2006. The Company's operating segments are strategic operating units that are managed separately due to their different products and/or geographical location.

Operating Segments The following are the Company's operating segments and geographical information:

	I		es to Custo Ended 31 M	
(Millions of US dollars)		6	2005	2004
USA Fibre Cement	\$ 1,21	8.4 \$	939.2	\$ 738.6
Asia Pacific Fibre Cement	24	1.8	236.1	219.8
Other	2	8.3	35.1	23.5
Worldwide total from continuing operations	\$ 1,48	8.5 \$	1,210.4	\$ 981.9

(1	(Loss) Income From Continuing Operation							
	Before Income Taxes							
		Yea	ars E	nded 31 I	March	1		
(Millions of US dollars)		2006		2005		2004		
	•		•	044 5	•	105.0		
USA Fibre Cement ²	\$	342.6	\$	241.5	\$	195.6		
Asia Pacific Fibre Cement ²		41.7		46.8		37.6		
Research and Development ²		(15.7)		(17.5)		(17.6)		
Other		(26.5)		(11.8)		(15.9)		
Segments total		342.1		259.0		199.7		
General Corporate ^{3,4}		(61.4)		(62.8)		(27.5)		
Asbestos provision		(715.6)		-		-		
Total operating (loss) income		(434.9)		196.2		172.2		
Net interest expense ⁵		(0.2)		(5.1)		(10.0)		
Other income (expense), net		-		(1.3)		3.5		
Worldwide total from continuing operations	\$	(435.1)	\$	189.8	\$	165.7		

		Total Identifiable Asset 31 March					
(Millions of US dollars)	2006 20						
USA Fibre Cement	\$ 826.0	\$	670.1				
Asia Pacific Fibre Cement	170.4		181.4				
Other	54.8		79.4				
Segments total	1,051.2		930.9				
General Corporate ⁶	394.2		155.8				
Worldwide total	\$ 1,445.4	\$	1,086.7				

James Hardie Industries N.V. and Subsidiaries Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

	Additions to Property, Plant and Equipment ⁷ Years Ended 31 March						
(Millions of US dollars)	dollars) 2006				2004		
USA Fibre Cement	\$	154.5	\$	144.8	\$	56.2	
Asia Pacific Fibre Cement		6.6		4.1		8.4	
Other		1.7		4.1		9.5	
Worldwide total	\$	162.8	\$	153.0	\$	74.1	

	Depreciation and Amortisation Years Ended 31 March								
(Millions of US dollars)		2006		2005		2004			
USA Fibre Cement	\$	32.4	\$	23.1	\$	25.1			
Asia Pacific Fibre Cement		10.0		10.1		9.7			
Other		2.9		3.1		1.5			
Segments total		45.3		36.3		36.3			
General Corporate		-		-		0.1			
Worldwide total	\$	45.3	\$	36.3	\$	36.4			

Geographic Areas	Net Sales to Customers ¹ Years Ended 31 March					1
(Millions of US dollars)	2006 2005 2				2004	
USA	\$	1,233.7	\$	955.7	\$	748.9
Australia		164.5		160.5		154.9
New Zealand		53.6		49.6		40.6
Other Countries		36.7		44.6		37.5
Worldwide total from continuing operations	\$	1,488.5	\$	1,210.4	\$	981.9

	Total Identifiable Asset 31 March		
(Millions of US dollars)	2006	2005	
USA	\$ 870.3	\$ 729.2	
Australia	108.5	118.8	
New Zealand	18.7	21.4	
Other Countries	53.7	61.5	
Segments total	1,051.2	930.9	
General Corporate ⁶	394.2	155.8	
Worldwide total	\$ 1,445.4	\$ 1,086.7	

¹Export sales and inter-segmental sales are not significant.

²Research and development costs of US\$13.2 million, US\$7.6 million and US\$6.3 million in fiscal years 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively, were expensed in the USA Fibre Cement operating segment. Research and development costs of US\$2.3 million, US\$1.9 million and US\$2.2 million in fiscal years 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively, were expensed in the Asia Pacific Fibre Cement segment. Research and development costs of US\$12.3 million, US\$12.0 million and US\$14.1 million in fiscal years 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively, were expensed in the Research and Development segment. Research and Development costs of US\$0.9 million, US\$0.1 million and nil in fiscal years 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively were expensed in other segment. Research and Development costs also include selling, general and administrative expenses of US\$3.4 million, US\$5.5 million and US\$3.5 million in fiscal years 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

Research and development expenditures are expensed as incurred and in total amounted to US\$28.7 million, US\$21.6 million and US\$22.6 million for the years ended 31 March 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

³The principal components of General Corporate are officer and employee compensation and related benefits, professional and legal fees, administrative costs and rental expense, net of rental income, on the Company's corporate offices.

Net periodic pension cost related to the Australian Defined Benefit Plan for the Asia Pacific Fibre Cement segment totaling US\$2.0 million, US\$2.3 million and US\$1.8 million in fiscal years 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively, has been included in the General Corporate segment. Also, a settlement loss of US\$0.9 and US\$5.3 million on the Defined Benefit Plan in fiscal years 2006 and 2005, respectively has been included in the General Corporate segment.

⁴Includes costs of US\$17.4 million and US\$28.1 million for SCI and other related expenses in fiscal years 2006 and 2005, respectively. See Note 12.

⁵The Company does not report net interest expense for each operating segment as operating segments are not held directly accountable for interest expense.

⁶The Company does not report deferred tax assets and liabilities for each operating segment as operating segments are not held directly accountable for deferred taxes. All deferred taxes are included in General Corporate.

⁷Additions to property, plant and equipment are calculated on an accrual basis, and therefore differ from property, plant and equipment in the consolidated statements of cash flows.

Concentrations of Risk

The distribution channels for the Company's fibre cement products are concentrated. If the Company were to lose one or more of its major customers, there can be no assurance that the Company will be able to find a replacement. Therefore, the loss of one or more customers could have a material adverse effect on the Company's consolidated financial position, results of operations and cash flows. The Company has three major customers that individually account for over 10% of the Company's net sales.

These three customers' accounts receivable represented 60% and 49% of the Company's trade accounts receivable at 31 March 2006 and 2005, respectively. The following are net sales generated by these three customers, which are all from the USA Fibre Cement segment:

		Years Ended 31 Ma				arch	
(Millions of US dollars)	2006		2005		2004		
Customer A	\$	168.5	\$	131.8	\$	111.3	
Customer B		426.2		295.4		252.2	
Customer C		156.6		131.7		112.9	
Total	\$	751.3	\$	558.9	\$	476.4	

Approximately 17% of the Company's fiscal year 2006 net sales from continuing operations were derived from outside the United States. Consequently, changes in the value of foreign currencies could significantly affect the consolidated financial position, results of operations and cash flows of the Company's non-US operations on translation into US dollars.

18. Other Comprehensive Loss

The following are the components of total accumulated other comprehensive loss, which is displayed in the consolidated balance sheets:

	Years Ended 31 March			
(Millions of US dollars)		2006		2005
Unrealised transition loss on derivative instruments classified as cash flow hedges	\$	-	\$	(0.5)
Foreign currency translation adjustments		(28.4)		(23.6)
Total accumulated other comprehensive loss	\$	(28.4)	\$	(24.1)

In August 2000, the Company entered into a contract with a third party to hedge the price of 5,000 metric tonnes per month of pulp, a major commodity used in the manufacture of fibre cement products. The original contract term was effective from 1 September 2000 to 31 August 2005, with settlement payments due each month. On 1 April 2001, the Company adopted SFAS No. 133, "Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities," as amended. The cumulative effect on 1 April 2001 of adopting this statement was to reduce other comprehensive income, a component of shareholders' equity, by US\$4.9 million. Subsequently, this amount has been amortised over the original term of the pulp contract to cost of goods sold.

19. Related Party Transactions

JHI NV Directors' Securities Transactions

The Company's Directors and their director-related entities held an aggregate of 271,561 ordinary shares and 266,217 ordinary shares at 31 March 2006 and 2005, respectively, and 2,782,544 options and 1,189,544 options at 31 March 2006 and 2005, respectively.

Supervisory Board members on 22 November 2005 participated in an allotment of 7,957 shares at A\$8.64 per share under the terms of the Supervisory Board Share Plan which was approved by JHI NV shareholders on 22 August 2005. Directors' allocations were as follows:

Director	Shares Allotted
M Hellicar	1,515
J Barr	758
MR Brown	758
PS Cameron	1,894
GJ Clark	758
MJ Gillfillan	758
JRH Loudon	758
DG McGauchie	758
Total	7,957

The JHI NV dividend paid on 1 July 2004 and 16 December 2005 to Directors and their related entities was on the same terms and conditions that applied to other holders.

Existing Loans to the Company's Directors and Directors of James Hardie Subsidiaries

At 31 March 2006 and 2005, loans totaling US\$30,466 and US\$33,204 respectively were outstanding from certain executive directors or former directors of subsidiaries of JHI NV under the terms and conditions of the Executive Share Purchase Plan (the "Plan"). Loans under the Plan are interest free and repayable from dividend income earned by, or capital returns from, securities acquired under the Plan. The loans are collateralised by CUFS under the Plan. No new loans to Directors or executive officers of JHI NV, under the plan or otherwise, and no modifications to existing loans have been made since December 1997.

During fiscal years 2006 and 2005, repayments totaling US\$1,892 and US\$18,632, respectively, were received in respect of the Plan from AT Kneeshaw, PD Macdonald, PG Morley and DAJ Salter. During fiscal year 2005, an executive director of a subsidiary resigned with loans outstanding of US\$117,688. Under the terms of the plan, this director has two years from due date of his resignation to repay such loan.

Payments Made to Directors and Director Related Entities of JHI NV during the Year

In August 2004, Chairman Meredith Hellicar was appointed to a role as Chairman of a special committee of the Board of Directors. The special committee was established to oversee the Company's asbestos matters and was dissolved on 31 March 2005. In this role, she received a fee of US\$33,777 and US\$45,000 for the years ended 31 March 2006 and 2005, respectively.

Supervisory Board Director GJ Clark is a director of ANZ Banking Group Limited with whom the Company transacts banking business. Supervisory Board Director DG McGauchie is a director of Telstra Corporation Limited from whom the Company purchases communications services. All transactions were in accordance with normal commercial terms and conditions. It is not considered that these Directors had significant influence over these transactions.

In February 2004, a subsidiary of the Company entered into a consulting agreement in usual commercial terms and conditions with The Gries Group in respect to professional services. The principal of The Gries Group, James P. Gries, is Mr Louis Gries' brother. Under the agreement, approximately US\$12,000 was paid each month to The Gries Group. The agreement expired in June 2005 and payments of US\$50,876 and US\$157,080 were made for the years ended 31 March 2006 and 2005, respectively. Mr Louis Gries has no economic interest in The Gries Group.

Payments made to Director and Director Related Entities of Subsidiaries of JHI NV

The Company has subsidiaries located in various countries, many of which require that at least one director be a local resident. All payments described below arise because of these requirements.

Payments of US\$8,829 and US\$6,817 for the years ended 31 March 2006 and 2005, respectively, were made to Grech, Vella, Tortell & Hyzler Advocates. Dr JJ Vella was a director of one of the Company's subsidiaries. The payments were in respect of professional services and were negotiated in accordance with usual commercial terms and conditions.

Payments of nil and US\$86,822 for the years ended 31 March 2006 and 2005, respectively, were made to Pether and Associates Pty Ltd, technical contractors. The late JF Pether was a director of a subsidiary of the Company and was a director of Pether and Associates Pty Ltd. The payments were in respect of technical services and were negotiated in accordance with usual commercial terms and conditions.

Payments totaling nil and US\$27,634 for the years ended 31 March 2006 and 2005, respectively, were made to R Christensen and T Norman who are directors of some of the Company's subsidiaries. The payments were in respect of professional services and were negotiated in accordance with usual commercial terms and conditions.

Payments totaling US\$78,496 and US\$71,849 for the years ended 31 March 2006 and 2005, respectively, were made to M Helyar, R Le Tocq and N Wild who are directors of a subsidiary of the Company. The payments were in respect of professional services and were negotiated in accordance with usual commercial terms and conditions.

Payments totaling nil and US\$15,488 for the years ended 31 March 2006 and 2005, respectively, were made to Marlee (UK) Ltd. Marlee (UK) Ltd is a director of a subsidiary of the Company. The payments were in respect of professional services and were negotiated in accordance with usual commercial terms and conditions.

Payments totaling US\$4,984 and US\$4,730 for the years ended 31 March 2006 and 2005, respectively, were made to Bernaldo, Mirador and Directo Law Offices. R Bernaldo is a director of a subsidiary of the Company. The payments were in respect of professional services and were negotiated in accordance with usual commercial terms and conditions.

Remuneration of Directors

Income paid or payable, or otherwise made available by the Company and related parties to Directors of the Company in connection with the management of affairs of the Company totalled US\$10.9 million and US\$15.1 million for the years ended 31 March 2006 and 2005, respectively.

Remuneration for non-executive Directors includes fees for attendance at meetings of the Board of Directors and its subcommittees. Remuneration for the executive Director is determined on the same basis as for other executives as described in below.

Director Retirement Benefits

In July 2002 the Company discontinued a retirement plan that entitled the Company's Supervisory Board members to receive, upon their termination for any reason other than misconduct, an amount equal to a multiple of up to five times their average annual fees for the three year period prior to their retirement. The applicable multiple was based on the director's years of service on the Company's Supervisory Board, including service on the JHIL Supervisory Board.

For two of the Company's directors, Ms Hellicar and Mr Brown, some benefits under the retirement plan that had accrued as of 2002 were not affected. They may therefore be entitled to benefits pursuant to this plan upon retirement from the Company's Supervisory Board. In the event Ms Hellicar retires from the Company's Supervisory Board for any reason other than misconduct, she will be entitled to four times her average director's fees for the previous three years prior to her retirement. In the event Mr Brown retires from the Company's Supervisory Board for any reason other than misconduct, he will be entitled to four times his average director's fees for the previous three years prior to his retirement.

Remuneration of Executives

Remuneration received or receivable from the Company by all executives (including Directors) whose remuneration was at least US\$0.1 million was US\$13.7 million and US\$18.5 million for the years ended 31 March 2006 and 2005, respectively. Remuneration for each executive includes salary, incentives, superannuation, stock options, retirement and termination payments, motor vehicles, fringe benefits, tax and other benefits.

An executive is defined as the Chief Executive Officer, members of the Senior Leadership Team, General Managers of Business Units and Company Secretaries of JHI NV.

Remuneration is determined on the basis of the cost of the remuneration to the Company, but excludes insurance premiums paid by the Company in respect of directors' and officers' liability insurance contracts.

Options and shares issued to executives under the Executive Share Purchase Plan are valued using the Black-Scholes model and the fair value of options granted is included in remuneration.

Remuneration of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

Remuneration to the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for services provided for fiscal years 2006, 2005 and 2004 were as follows:

Audit Fees

The aggregate fees for professional services rendered by its independent registered public accounting firm during the years ended 31 March 2006, 2005 and 2004 were US\$1.6 million, US\$3.1 million and US\$1.2 million, respectively. Professional services include the audit of the Company's annual financial statements and services that are normally provided in connection with statutory and regulatory filings. The fees for the year ended 31 March 2005 included US\$1.9 million of internal investigation fees.

Audit-Related Fees

The aggregate fees billed for assurance and related services rendered by the Company's independent registered public accounting firm during the years ended 31 March 2006, 2005 and 2004 were US\$0.1 million, US\$0.2 million and US\$0.1 million, respectively.

Tax Fees

The aggregate fees billed for tax compliance, tax advice and tax planning services rendered by the Company's independent registered public accounting firm during the years ended 31 March 2006, 2005 and 2004 were US\$5.2 million, US\$4.2 million and US\$3.5 million, respectively.

All Other Fees

In addition to the fees described above, the Company incurred minor fees from the Company's independent registered public accounting firm related to the purchase and use of software.

James Hardie Industries N.V. and Subsidiaries Selected Quarterly Financial Data

(unaudited, not forming part of the consolidated financial statements)

The information furnished in the selected quarterly financial data for the years ended 31 March 2006 and 2005 is unaudited but includes all adjustments which, in the opinion of management, are necessary for a fair statement of the financial results of the respective interim periods. Such adjustments are of a normal recurring nature. Interim financial statements are by necessity somewhat tentative; judgments are used to estimate interim amounts for items that are normally determinable only on an annual basis.

	Year Ended 31 March 2006			Year Ended 31 March 2005				
	By Quarter			By Quarter				
(Millions of US dollars)	First	Second	Third	Fourth	First	Second	Third	Fourth
Net sales	\$359.4	\$ 376.6	\$ 362.7	\$ 389.8	\$ 306.1	\$ 300.9	\$ 287.0	\$ 316.4
Cost of goods sold	(214.1)	(239.3)	(234.0)	(250.3)	(194.8)	(203.8)	(190.3)	(195.1)
Gross profit	145.3	137.3	128.7	139.5	111.3	97.1	96.7	121.3
Operating income (loss)	86.9	76.4	64.4	(662.6)	58.3	40.0	33.3	64.6
Interest expense	(1.7)	(2.3)	(1.1)	(2.2)	(2.8)	(1.9)	(1.3)	(1.3)
Interest income	1.0	1.3	1.9	2.9	0.3	0.6	0.6	0.7
Other (expense) income, net	-	-	-	-	-	(1.9)	0.4	0.2
Income (loss) from continuing								
operations before income taxes	86.2	75.4	65.2	(661.9)	55.8	36.8	33.0	64.2
Income tax (expense) benefit	(30.3)	(27.8)	(24.5)	11.0	(18.7)	(12.1)	(13.2)	(17.9)
Income (loss) from continuing operations	55.9	47.6	40.7	(650.9)	37.1	24.7	19.8	46.3
Discontinued operations:								
Loss from discontinued								
operations net of income tax	-	-	-	-	-	-	(0.3)	-
(Loss) gain on disposal of								
discontinued operations								
net of income tax	-	-	-	-	(0.8)	0.1	-	-
(Loss) income from discontinued								
operations	-			-	(0.8)	0.1	(0.3)	-
Net income (loss)	\$ 55.9	\$ 47.6	\$ 40.7	\$ (650.9)	\$ 36.3	\$ 24.8	\$ 19.5	\$ 46.3

James Hardie Industries N.V. and Subsidiaries Group Statistics

(unaudited, not forming part of the consolidated financial statements)

	2006	2005	2004	2003	2002
Profit and Loss Account					
Net Sales	• • • • • •				
USA Fibre Cement	\$1,218.4	\$ 939.2	\$ 738.6	\$ 599.7	\$ 444.8
Asia Pacific Fibre Cement	241.8	236.1	219.8	174.3	141.7
Other	28.3	35.1	23.5	9.6	4.2
Segment total	1,488.5	1,210.4	981.9	783.6	590.7
General Corporate	-	-	-	-	1.0
Worldwide total	\$1,488.5	\$1,210.4	\$ 981.9	\$ 783.6	\$ 591.7
Operating Income					
USA Fibre Cement	\$ 342.6	\$ 241.5	\$ 195.6	\$ 155.1	\$ 85.8
Asia Pacific Fibre Cement	41.7	46.8	37.6	27.3	20.9
Research and Development	(15.7)	(17.5)	(17.6)	(13.0)	(10.0)
Other	(26.5)	(11.8)	(15.9)	(10.7)	(8.9)
Segments total	342.1	259.0	199.7	158.7	87.8
General Corporate	(61.4)	(62.8)	(27.5)	(29.9)	(41.0)
Asbestos provision	(715.6)				-
Total operating (loss) income	(434.9)	196.2	172.2	128.8	46.8
Net interest expense	(0.2)	(5.1)	(10.0)	(19.9)	(16.0)
Other income (expense), net	-	(1.3)	3.5	0.7	(0.4)
(Loss) income from continuing					
operations before income					
taxes	(435.1)	189.8	165.7	109.6	30.4
Income tax expense	(71.6)	(61.9)	(40.4)	(26.1)	(3.1)
(Loss) income from continuing					
operations	\$ (506.7)	\$ 127.9	\$ 125.3	\$ 83.5	\$ 27.3
Dividends paid	\$ 45.9	\$ 13.7	\$ 22.9	\$ 34.3	\$ 20.3
Balance Sheet					
Net current assets	\$ 150.8	\$ 180.2	\$ 195.9	\$ 159.4	\$ 115.1
Total assets	1,445.4	1,088.9	971.2	851.8	968.0
Long-term debt ¹	121.7	147.4	165.0	165.0	325.0
Shareholders' equity	\$ 94.9	\$ 624.7	\$ 504.7	\$ 434.7	\$ 370.7
Other Statistics	•	• -	• • •	•	•
Number of employees:					
USA Fibre Cement	2,150	1,820	1,722	1,500	1,177
Asia Pacific Fibre Cement	773	892	955	931	977
Research and Development	118	131	117	107	51
Other	197	241	245	283	109
Corporate	34	38	34	34	34
Total from continuing					
operations	3,272	3,122	3,073	2,855	2,348
Number of shareholders	14,679	17,347	22,600	21,688	22,259
Weighted average number of common	14,075	17,047	22,000	21,000	22,200
shares outstanding:					
Basic	461.7	458.9	458.1	456.7	438.4
Diluted	461.7	458.9	456.1	459.4	430.4
Capital expenditures ²	162.8	153.0	74.1	90.2	50.8
Depreciation and amortisation ³	45.3	36.3	36.4	27.4	23.5
Dividends paid per share ⁴	10.0¢	3.0¢	5.0¢	7.5¢	4.6¢
Return of capital per share ⁵	-	-	15.0¢	20.0¢	5.0¢
Basic (loss) earnings per share - continuir	ng				
operations ⁶	(110.0¢)	27.9¢	27.4¢	18.3¢	6.2¢
Diluted (loss) earnings per share - continu	• • • • • • •	۶	۶	۶	,
operations ⁶	(110.0¢)	27.7¢	27.2¢	18.2¢	6.20
Gearing ratio ⁸	(110.0¢) 11.6%	6.8%	17.0%	21.4%	44.7%
	11.0%	0.070	17.070	21.4/0	-++.170

(unaudited, not forming part of the consolidated financial statements)

Notes:

¹Includes current portion of long term debt. The US\$ notes were repaid on 8 May 2006. See Note 9.

²Capital investment on property, plant and equipment includes both cash and credit purchases, and is for continuing operations only.

³Information for depreciation and amortisation is for continuing operations only.

⁴Dividends paid divided by the weighted average number of ordinary and employee shares on issue during the year.

⁵On 19 November 2003, the Company paid a capital return of US\$0.15 per share to shareholders for a total of US\$68.7 million. On 1 November 2002, the Company paid a capital return of US\$0.20 per share to shareholders for a total of \$94.8 million.

⁶Net income divided by the weighted average number of ordinary and employee shares on issue during the year.

⁷Diluted EPS is similar to basic EPS except that the weighted average number of common shares outstanding is increased to include the number of additional common shares that would have been outstanding if the dilutive potential common shares, such as options, had been issued.

⁸Borrowings less cash (net debt) divided by net debt plus total shareholders' equity.

James Hardie Industries N.V. and Subsidiaries

In this report, James Hardie Industries N.V. and its subsidiaries are collectively referred to as "we," "us," or "our," and the terms "US\$", "A\$", "NZ\$", "PHP", refer to United States dollars, Australian dollars, New Zealand dollars and Philippine pesos, respectively.

We have operations in foreign countries and, as a result, are exposed to foreign currency exchange rate risk inherent in purchases, sales, assets and liabilities denominated in currencies other than the U.S. dollar. We also are exposed to interest rate risk associated with our long-term debt and to changes in prices of commodities we use in production.

Our policy is to enter into derivative instruments solely to mitigate risks in our business and not for trading or speculative purposes.

Foreign Currency Exchange Rate Risk

We have significant operations outside of the United States and, as a result, are exposed to changes in exchange rates which affect our financial position, results of operations and cash flows. For our year ended 31 March 2006, the following currencies comprised the following percentages of our net sales, cost of goods sold, expenses and liabilities:

	US\$	A\$	NZ\$	Other ⁽¹⁾
Net sales	82.9%	11.1%	3.6%	2.5%
Cost of goods sold	84.2%	10.7%	2.9%	2.2%
Expenses	73.5%	19.9%	1.6%	4.9%
Liabilities (excluding borrowings)	74.4%	20.0%	4.4%	1.1%

We purchase raw materials and fixed assets and sell some finished product for amounts denominated in currencies other than the functional currency of the business in which the related transaction is generated. In order to protect against foreign exchange rate movements, we may enter into forward exchange contracts timed to mature when settlement of the underlying transaction is due to occur. At 31 March 2006, outstanding foreign exchange contracts were not material.

Interest Rate Risk

We have market risk from changes in interest rates, primarily related to our borrowings. At 31 March 2006, 40% of our borrowings were fixed-rate and 60% variable-rate. The percentage of fixed-rate debt reduces the earnings volatility that would result from changes in interest rates. From time to time, we may enter into interest rate swap contracts in an effort to mitigate interest rate risk. As of ended 31 March 2006, no interest rate swap contracts were entered into and no contracts were outstanding.

James Hardie Industries N.V. and Subsidiaries

The following table presents our long-term borrowings at 31 March 2006, the expected maturity date of future principal repayments and related weighted average interest rates. However, under the terms of the uncollateralized notes agreement (fixed-rate debt) prepayment is permitted and on 28 April 2006, the Company issued a notice to all note holders to prepay in full all outstanding notes on 8 May 2006. On 8 May 2006, the US\$ notes were prepaid in full, incurring a make-whole payment of US\$6.0 million.

Future Principal Repayments (in Millions of US dollars, except percentages) For the Year Ended 31 March

		Fair	
	2007	Value	
Fixed-rate debt	\$ 121.7	\$ 133.8	
Weighted-average interest rate	7.16%		

Commodity Price Risk

Pulp is a raw material we use to produce fibre cement, and it has historically demonstrated more price sensitivity than other raw materials we use in our manufacturing process. Although we have entered into contracts to hedge pulp prices in the past, we do not anticipate entering in such transactions in the near future. Cement, energy and fuel are also subject to price sensitivity. Similar to pulp, we do not anticipate entering into any contract to hedge the prices of these commodities in the near future.

This Financial Report forms part of a package of information about the Company's results. It should be read in conjunction with the other parts of this package, including the Media Release, Management Presentation and Management's Analysis of Results.

Disclaimer

This Management's Analysis of results contains forward-looking statements. James Hardie may from time to time make forward-looking statements in its periodic reports filed with or furnished to the United States Securities and Exchange Commission on Forms 20-F and 6-K, in the annual reports to shareholders, in offering circulars and prospectuses, in media releases and other written materials and in oral statements made by the company's officers, directors or employees to analysts, institutional investors, representatives of the media and others. Examples of forward-looking statements include:

- expectations that the conditions precedent to the Final Funding Agreement will be satisfied;
- expectations about payments to a special purpose fund for the compensation of proven asbestos-related personal injury and death claims;
- expectations concerning the company's Australian Tax Office amended assessment;
- expectations that the company's credit facilities will be extended or renewed;
- projections of operating results or financial condition;
- statements regarding plans, objectives or goals, including those relating to competition, acquisitions, dispositions and products;
- statements about future performance; and
- statements about product or environmental liabilities.

Words such as "believe," "anticipate," "plan," "expect," "intend," "target," "estimate," "project," "predict," "forecast," "guideline," "should," "aim" and similar expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements but are not the exclusive means of identifying such statements.

Forward-looking statements involve inherent risks and uncertainties. The company cautions that a number of important factors could cause actual results to differ materially from the plans, objectives, expectations, estimates and intentions expressed in such forward-looking statements. These factors include, but are not limited to, the risk factors discussed under "Risk Factors" beginning on page 6 of the Form 20-F filed on 7 July 2005 and all matters relating to or arising out of the prior manufacture of products that contained asbestos by current and former James Hardie Australian subsidiaries; compliance with and changes in tax laws and treatments; competition and product pricing in the markets in which the company operates; the consequences of product failures or defects; exposure to environmental, asbestos or other legal proceedings; general economic and market conditions; the supply and cost of raw materials; the success of research and development efforts; reliance on a small number of product distributors; compliance with and changes in environmental and health and safety laws; risks of conducting business internationally; compliance with and changes in laws and regulations; foreign exchange risks; and the successful implementation of new software systems and our successful implementation of the internal control over financial reporting requirements of Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, as codified by Item 308 of Regulation S-K. The company cautions that the foregoing list of factors is not exclusive and that other risks and uncertainties may cause actual results to differ materially from those in forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements speak only as of the date they are made.